

Laura Rider: Modular Representation theory & geometric Satake

w/ P. Achar.

1) Representation Theory

G - con. red. alg. group. X^+ = dom. weights for G . $k = \mathbb{C}, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$.

Irreducibles i) $L_\lambda, \lambda \in X^+$

ii) understand structure: $k = \mathbb{C}$: weight multiplicities, given by Weyl's character formula

$k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ hard! Weyl modules M_λ (standard) dual Weyl modules N_λ , irreducibles L_λ (self-dual, $M_\lambda \leftrightarrow N_\lambda$ interchanged by duality). $\text{Ext}^i(M_\lambda, N_\mu) = 0 \text{ if } i > 0$.

Tilting modules: have a Weyl and dual Weyl filtration \Rightarrow no Exts between these.


2) Geometry: G^\vee the Langlands dual group, over \mathbb{C} . $\text{Gr} = G^\vee(\mathbb{C}((t)))/G^\vee(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$

This is an ind-variety which is projective: Gr is filtered by an increasing union of fin. dim.

projective variety: $\text{Gr} = \bigcup_i \text{Gr}_i$ say. $G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$ acts on Gr with orbits given by dominant coweights for $G^\vee =$ dominant weights for G , X^+ .

Ex. $G = \text{SL}_2$, $G^\vee = \text{PGL}_2$, $X^+ = 2\mathbb{N}$. $\text{Gr}_{\text{SL}_2} \cong \bigcirc_4 \dots$ $\bar{\text{Gr}}_2$ is singular projective. $H^*(\bar{\text{Gr}}_2, \mathbb{C})$

is actually an irreducible PGL_2 representation. In general use IH, get L_λ from $\text{IH}^*(\bar{\text{Gr}}_\lambda)$.

$\text{Perv}_{G^\vee(\mathbb{C})}(\text{Gr}, k) \subset D_{G^\vee(\mathbb{C})}^b(\text{Gr}, k)$ [Aside: Perv :  (really $i_{h*} \cap D(i_{h*})$) order by dim of support]

Perverse sheaves are finite length (even here on ind-variety). $j_\lambda: \text{Gr}_\lambda \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}$.

${}^p H^0(j_{!} \underline{k}) \rightarrow {}^p H^0(j_* \underline{k})$ (${}^p H^0$ changes stalks.)
 \parallel \parallel
 $I_!(\lambda, k) \quad I_*(\lambda, k)$
 $\searrow \quad \swarrow$
 $I(\lambda, k) = j_{!*}(\)$

Theorem: (Lusztig, Ginzburg, Mirkovic-Vilonen) $(\text{Perv}_{G^\vee(\mathbb{C})}(\text{Gr}, k), *)$ is a Tannakian category equivalent to $(\text{Rep}(G, k), \otimes)$ ("Geometric Satake") let S be the equivalence

[MV] $S(M_\lambda \rightarrow L_\lambda \rightarrow N_\lambda) = I_!(\lambda, k) \rightarrow I(\lambda, k) \rightarrow I_*(\lambda, k)$.

QT: $S(T(\lambda)) = ?$ $T(\lambda)$ a tiling object.

↑
would like a sheaf-theoretic description.

MV proved that $I_\lambda(\lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} IC(\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$. They knew $I_1(\lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L k \simeq I_1(\lambda, k)$.

MV conjecture: The stalks of $I_1(\lambda, \mathbb{Z}) = IC(\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ have no torsion

Juteau: '08 MV not true: Found p -torsion where p is a "bad" prime (at worst 2, 3 or 5).

Proposed a modification:

Theorem: (Achar-R.) MV-modified is true: As long as p is a JMW prime, the stalks of $I_1(\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ have no p -torsion.

Known JMW primes:

	primes
A_n	any
B_n	$p > n$
C_n, D_n	$p > 2$
E_6, F_4, G_2	$p > 3$
E_7	$p > 19$
E_8	$p > 31$

sharp { } bound not known to be tight

Remark: The existence of p -torsion over \mathbb{Z} is really a question about parity- p -vanishing properties/ k , characteristic $k=p$. (work over a point: $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$)

Thus MV p -conjecture is implied by vanishing of stalks of $I_1(\lambda, k)$ in odd (or even) degrees.

Theorem (Achar-R.) For $p = \text{char}(k)$ JMW $I_1(\lambda, k)$ are " \ast -parity."

JMW primes and parity sheaves:

Decomposition theorem doesn't work in positive characteristic, but Soergel inspired the theory of parity sheaves developed by Juteau-Mautner-Williamson.

Definition: X/\mathbb{C} . $D_c^b(X, k)$. $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, k)$ is \ast -even (or \ast -odd) if all stalks are concentrated in even (or odd) degrees and $! \text{-even}$ ($! \text{-odd}$) is D -condition. Then "even" is \ast and $!$ even while "odd" is \ast - and $! \text{-odd}$. (Work with a fixed stratification $X = \sqcup X_s$.)

Theorem: [JMW] If (indecomposable) parity sheaves exist they are unique (up to shift)

i.e. if $X_s \subset X$ is open in $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{P}_{1, X_s} = k[\dim X_s]$ and this extends uniquely.

These may not be perverse.

Question: What does it mean for rep theory if all parity sheaves are perverse.

Theorem: [JMW] (Answer to QT). $S(\mathcal{T}_\lambda)$ is a parity sheaf for all primes JMW table.

Definition: A JMW prime is one for which $S(\text{tilting}) = \text{parity}$.

Main idea: stalks encoded in derived settings: need a derived geometric Satake.

If $k = \mathbb{C}$ Arkhipov - Bezrukavnikov - Ginzburg $D^b(\text{gr}) = D(\quad)$

Theorem: [Achar-R. + ideas of Achar-Riche] $D_{(\check{e}(0))}^{\text{mix}}(\text{gr}, k) \cong D_{\text{perf}}^b \text{Coh}^{G \times \mathbb{G}_m}(\tilde{N}/k)$,
if char k is JMW, conditions on G . [Match up parity \leftrightarrow tilting (p-coh) $\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{mlp. cone for } G}$]

Implied by Theorem of Achar-Riche inspired by Ginzburg '95: $\text{Hom}^i(S(\mathcal{T}_\lambda), S(\mathcal{T}_\mu))$
 $\cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_N, \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{O}_N(i))$

Future work in progress: Achar-R. and independently Mautner-Riche.

Relate: $D_{(\mathcal{I})}^{\text{mix}}(\text{gr}, k) \longleftrightarrow D^b \text{Coh}^{G \times \mathbb{G}_m}(\tilde{N}/k)$.
 \uparrow
constructible w.r.t. I -orbits