

# A conceptual approach to the Generalized Springer Correspondence

- I.  $P(\mathcal{N})^G$  = cat. of  $G$  eq. perverse sheaves on  $\mathcal{N}$   
 II.  $D(\mathfrak{g})^G$  = derived cat of  $G$  equiv.  $D$ -modules on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  
 $G$ -conn. red. /  $\mathbb{C}$        $P(\mathcal{N})^G \subseteq D(\mathcal{N})^G \subseteq D(\mathfrak{g})^G$   
 $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$

## I. Generalised Springer Correspondence

$$P(G)^G = \bigoplus_{\text{cuspidal datum}} \text{Rep}(W(L))$$

$W(L) = N_G(L) / L$      $L = \text{Levi of } G$

$\uparrow$   
 $\text{Rep}(W)$     Weyl gp.  $W$  when  $L = T$  max torus

① Define functors:  $L \leftarrow P \subset G$   
 $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$      $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g} \supseteq \mathcal{N}_L$

$$P(\mathcal{N}_G)^G \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_L^G} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_L^G} \end{matrix} P(\mathcal{N}_L)^L$$

eg:  $P(\mathcal{N}_G)^G \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{matrix} P(\mathcal{N}_H)^H \cong \text{Vect}$

Springer Sheaf  $\rightarrow$   $\text{Spr} = (\tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N})_* \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{N}}[\dim \mathcal{N}]$

② Defn: An object  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})^G$  is called cuspidal if  $\text{Res}_L^G(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all proper Levi  $L$ .

Defn:  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})_{[L]}^G = \langle \text{Ind}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_L)_{\text{cusp}}^L) \rangle$

③ Mackey Thm: Let  $\begin{array}{ccc} Q & & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & & L \end{array}$  parabolics  
Levis

$$\text{Res}_M^G \text{Ind}_L^G(\mathcal{F}) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in Q \backslash G / P \\ w_Q \backslash \tilde{W} / w_P}} \text{Ind}_{w_L M}^M \text{Res}_{w_L M}^{w_L} (w_* \mathcal{F})$$

Cor: ①  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})_{[L]}^G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_L)_{\text{cusp}}^L$

②  $\text{Res}_L^G \text{Ind}_L^G |_{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_L)_{\text{cusp}}^L} (\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{w \in W(L)} w_* (\mathcal{F})$   
 $\cong \mathcal{N}_L(L) / L = \mathcal{N}_w(W_P) / w_P \subseteq w_P \backslash W / w_P$

④ Claim:  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_A)^G = \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{conj cls} \\ \text{of levis}}} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_A)_{[L]}^G$

Need to check:

①  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_A)_{[L]}^G$  generate ✓

②  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_A)_{[L]}^G \perp \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_A)_{[M]}^G$  if  $M$  is not conj. to  $L$ .

③  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_A)_{[L]}^G = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_A)_{[L]}^G$  if  $L$  is conj. to  $M$ .

①, ②, ③ all proved by Mackey

## Barr-Beck Monodicity Thm

If  $\mathcal{C} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{R} \\ \xleftarrow{L} \end{matrix} \mathcal{D}$  an adjunction

$$RL \in \text{End}(D) \text{ is a monad } \begin{array}{ccc} (RL)(RL) & \longrightarrow & RL \\ \text{id}_D & \longrightarrow & RL \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} D^{RL} \leftarrow RL\text{-modules in } D, \text{ i.e. } RL(d) \rightarrow d$   
assuming  $R$  is conservative,  $R(c)=0 \Rightarrow c=0$

Prop: There is an equivalence of monads

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Res}_L^G \text{Ind}_L^G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_L)^L_{\text{cusp}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{W}(L) \\ \mathcal{F} \longmapsto & & \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}(L)} w_*(\mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

Cor:  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_a)_{[L]}^L \cong \left( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_L)_{\text{cusp}}^L \right)^{w(L)}$

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_L)^L_{\text{cusp}} = (\langle \mathcal{F}_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle \mathcal{F}_n \rangle)^{\hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}(L)} = \text{Rep}(\mathcal{W}(L))$$

II  $D(\underline{g})^G =$  derived (strongly) equiv. cat of  $D$ -modules on  $G$ .

Thm (a):  $D(g)^h = \bigoplus (D(\underline{g})_{\text{cusp}}^L)^{w(L)}$

Remarks:

① c.f McGerty-Nevins, but split  $G_{Ln}$

Note:  $D(g)^c \xrightarrow{\sim} D(g \mathbb{Q}_\ell \times V)^{GL_n}$   $(D \otimes S(\mathbb{H}^2)) \# W$

e.g.  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ ,  $D(\underline{g})^{\bar{G}} = D(\underline{h})^{N_G(H)} \rightsquigarrow (D \# W)\text{-mod}$   
→ smash product

$$\textcircled{2} \quad D(W)^G = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{U}} \dots$$

$$D(pt)^{N_G(H)} = S(h[z]) \# W$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad (D(\mathcal{L})^L_{\text{cusp}})^{W(L)} = \left( \bigoplus D(z(\mathcal{L}))^{Z(L)} \boxtimes f \right)^{W(L)}$$

### Hamiltonian Reduction

$$D(\underline{h})^{N_G(H)} \hookrightarrow D(\underline{g})^G$$

$$D_{\mathfrak{h}} \rightsquigarrow D_{\mathfrak{g}} / D_{\mathfrak{g} \cdot \text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})} = M$$

↙ ideal gen. by adjoint action on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$\text{Hom}(M, -) = \text{Quantum Hamiltonian Reduction Functor}$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{End}(M) \cong (M)^G \xrightarrow[\text{Lewison Stafford}]{} (D_{\mathfrak{h}})^W$$

$$\text{End}(D_{\mathfrak{h}}) = (D_{\mathfrak{h}})^W$$