

Equivariant cohomology and fixed points of smooth Calogero-Moser spaces

Cédric Bonnafé

CNRS (UMR 5149) - Université de Montpellier

Banff, Canada, October 2017

Set-up

Set-up

- $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = n < \infty$
- $W < \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V), \quad |W| < \infty$

Set-up

- $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = n < \infty$
- $W < \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, $|W| < \infty$
- $\text{cod}(w) = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^w)$
- $\text{cod}(ww') \leq \text{cod}(w) + \text{cod}(w')$

Set-up

- $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = n < \infty$
- $W < \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, $|W| < \infty$
- $\text{cod}(w) = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^w)$
- $\text{cod}(ww') \leq \text{cod}(w) + \text{cod}(w')$
- $\text{Ref}(W) = \text{cod}^{-1}(1)$.
- **Hypothesis:** $W = \langle \text{Ref}(W) \rangle$

Set-up

- $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = n < \infty$
- $W < \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, $|W| < \infty$
- $\text{cod}(w) = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(V^w)$
- $\text{cod}(ww') \leq \text{cod}(w) + \text{cod}(w')$
- $\text{Ref}(W) = \text{cod}^{-1}(1)$.
- **Hypothesis:** $W = \langle \text{Ref}(W) \rangle$

Set-up (continued)

Set-up (continued)

- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) = \bigoplus_{\text{cod}(w) \leq i} \mathbb{C}w$
- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) \cdot \mathcal{F}_j(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_{i+j}(\mathbb{C}W)$

Set-up (continued)

- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) = \bigoplus_{\text{cod}(w) \leq i} \mathbb{C}w$
- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) \cdot \mathcal{F}_j(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_{i+j}(\mathbb{C}W)$

$\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{C}W) = \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_2(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{C}W) = \mathbb{C}W$ is a filtration of $\mathbb{C}W$.

Set-up (continued)

- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) = \bigoplus_{\text{cod}(w) \leq i} \mathbb{C}w$
- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) \cdot \mathcal{F}_j(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_{i+j}(\mathbb{C}W)$

$\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{C}W) = \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_2(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{C}W) = \mathbb{C}W$ is a filtration of $\mathbb{C}W$.

- If A is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}W$, we define $\mathcal{F}_i(A) = A \cap \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W)$

Set-up (continued)

- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) = \bigoplus_{\text{cod}(w) \leq i} \mathbb{C}w$
- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) \cdot \mathcal{F}_j(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_{i+j}(\mathbb{C}W)$

$\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{C}W) = \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_2(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{C}W) = \mathbb{C}W$ is a filtration of $\mathbb{C}W$.

- If A is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}W$, we define $\mathcal{F}_i(A) = A \cap \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W)$
- $\text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} h^i \mathcal{F}_i(A) \subset \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes A$

Set-up (continued)

- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) = \bigoplus_{\text{cod}(w) \leq i} \mathbb{C}w$
- $\mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W) \cdot \mathcal{F}_j(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_{i+j}(\mathbb{C}W)$

$\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{C}W) = \mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \mathcal{F}_2(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n(\mathbb{C}W) = \mathbb{C}W$ is a filtration of $\mathbb{C}W$.

- If A is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}W$, we define $\mathcal{F}_i(A) = A \cap \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbb{C}W)$
- $\text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} h^i \mathcal{F}_i(A) \subset \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes A$
- $\mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h - \xi \rangle \otimes \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) \simeq \begin{cases} A & \text{if } \xi \neq 0, \\ \text{Grad}_{\mathcal{F}}(A) & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases}$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Part}(n) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n) \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \chi_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\text{Part}(n) \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$$

$$\lambda \longmapsto \chi_\lambda$$

$$\lambda \longmapsto \begin{cases} \gamma_d(\lambda) \in \text{Part} & \rightarrow \text{its } d\text{-core} \\ \lambda[d] \in \text{Part}_d & \rightarrow \text{its } d\text{-quotient} \end{cases}$$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\text{Part}(n) \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$$

$$\lambda \longmapsto \chi_\lambda$$

$$\lambda \longmapsto \begin{cases} \gamma_d(\lambda) \in \text{Part} & \rightarrow \text{its } d\text{-core} \\ \lambda[d] \in \text{Part}_d & \rightarrow \text{its } d\text{-quotient} \end{cases}$$

$$|\lambda| = |\gamma_d(\lambda)| + d |\lambda[d]|.$$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\text{Part}(n) \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$$

$$\lambda \longmapsto \chi_\lambda$$

$$\lambda \longmapsto \begin{cases} \gamma_d(\lambda) \in \text{Part} & \rightarrow \text{its } d\text{-core} \\ \lambda[d] \in \text{Part}_d & \rightarrow \text{its } d\text{-quotient} \end{cases}$$

$$|\lambda| = |\gamma_d(\lambda)| + d |\lambda[d]|.$$

Theorem (Fong-Srinivasan, 1982)

Assume that $o(q \bmod \ell) = d$. Two unipotent characters ρ_λ and ρ_μ of $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ lie in the same ℓ -block if and only if $\gamma_d(\lambda) = \gamma_d(\mu)$.

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (continued)

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (continued)

- Fix a d -core γ such that $r = \frac{n - |\gamma|}{d} \in \mathbb{N}$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (continued)

- Fix a d -core γ such that $r = \frac{n - |\gamma|}{d} \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathfrak{S}_r \times \mu_d^r \simeq G(d, 1, r) \subset \mathbf{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (continued)

- Fix a d -core γ such that $r = \frac{n - |\gamma|}{d} \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathfrak{S}_r \times \mu_d^r \simeq G(d, 1, r) \subset \mathbf{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Part}_d(r) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(G(d, 1, r)) \\ \mu &\longmapsto \chi_\mu^{(d)} \end{aligned}$$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (continued)

- Fix a d -core γ such that $r = \frac{n - |\gamma|}{d} \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathfrak{S}_r \times \mu_d^r \simeq G(d, 1, r) \subset \mathbf{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Part}_d(r) &\overset{\sim}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{Irr}(G(d, 1, r)) \\ \mu &\longmapsto \chi_\mu^{(d)} \end{aligned}$$

- Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\gamma : Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n) &\longrightarrow Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r)) \\ e_{\chi_\lambda} &\longmapsto \begin{cases} e_{\chi_{\lambda[d]}^{(d)}} & \text{if } \gamma_d(\lambda) = \gamma, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma_d(\lambda) \neq \gamma, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (continued)

- Fix a d -core γ such that $r = \frac{n - |\gamma|}{d} \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathfrak{S}_r \times \mu_d^r \simeq G(d, 1, r) \subset \mathbf{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Part}_d(r) &\overset{\sim}{\longleftrightarrow} \text{Irr}(G(d, 1, r)) \\ \mu &\longmapsto \chi_\mu^{(d)} \end{aligned}$$

- Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\gamma : Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n) &\longrightarrow Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r)) \\ e_{\chi_\lambda} &\longmapsto \begin{cases} e_{\chi_{\lambda[d]}^{(d)}} & \text{if } \gamma_d(\lambda) = \gamma, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma_d(\lambda) \neq \gamma. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (B.-Maksimau-Shan, 2017)

$$\varphi_\gamma(\mathcal{F}_i(Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n))) \subset \mathcal{F}_i(Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r)))$$

Example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n \subset \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ (continued)

- Fix a d -core γ such that $r = \frac{n - |\gamma|}{d} \in \mathbb{N}$
- $\mathfrak{S}_r \times \mu_d^r \simeq G(d, 1, r) \subset \mathbf{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Part}_d(r) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(G(d, 1, r)) \\ \mu &\longmapsto \chi_\mu^{(d)} \end{aligned}$$

- Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\gamma : Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n) &\longrightarrow Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r)) \\ e_{\chi_\lambda} &\longmapsto \begin{cases} e_{\chi_{\lambda[d]}^{(d)}} & \text{if } \gamma_d(\lambda) = \gamma, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma_d(\lambda) \neq \gamma. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (B.-Maksimau-Shan, 2017)

$$\varphi_\gamma(\mathcal{F}_i(Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n)) \subset \mathcal{F}_i(Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r)))$$

(i.e. $(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}[h]} \otimes \varphi_\gamma)(\text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n)) \subset \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r))$).

Calogero-Moser spaces

Calogero-Moser spaces

- $c : \text{Ref}(W)/\sim \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathbf{H}_c = \mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*] \quad (\text{as a vector space})$$

$$\forall y \in V, \forall x \in V^*, [y, x] = \sum_{s \in \text{Ref}(W)} c_s \langle y, s(x) - x \rangle s$$

Calogero-Moser spaces

- $c : \text{Ref}(W)/\sim \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathbf{H}_c = \mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*] \quad (\text{as a vector space})$$

$$\forall y \in V, \forall x \in V^*, [y, x] = \sum_{s \in \text{Ref}(W)} c_s \langle y, s(x) - x \rangle s$$

Calogero-Moser spaces

- $c : \text{Ref}(W)/\sim \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathbf{H}_c = \mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*] \quad (\text{as a vector space})$$

$$\forall y \in V, \forall x \in V^*, [y, x] = \sum_{s \in \text{Ref}(W)} c_s \langle y, s(x) - x \rangle s$$

- $Z_c = Z(\mathbf{H}_c)$
- $\mathcal{L}_c = \text{Specmax}(Z_c)$ (Calogero-Moser space)

Calogero-Moser spaces

- $c : \text{Ref}(W)/\sim \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathbf{H}_c = \mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*] \quad (\text{as a vector space})$$

$$\forall y \in V, \forall x \in V^*, [y, x] = \sum_{s \in \text{Ref}(W)} c_s \langle y, s(x) - x \rangle s$$

- $Z_c = Z(\mathbf{H}_c)$
- $\mathcal{L}_c = \text{Specmax}(Z_c)$ (Calogero-Moser space)

Example - Assume that $c = 0$.

Calogero-Moser spaces

- $c : \text{Ref}(W)/\sim \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathbf{H}_c = \mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*] \quad (\text{as a vector space})$$

$$\forall y \in V, \forall x \in V^*, [y, x] = \sum_{s \in \text{Ref}(W)} c_s \langle y, s(x) - x \rangle s$$

- $Z_c = Z(\mathbf{H}_c)$
- $\mathcal{L}_c = \text{Specmax}(Z_c)$ (Calogero-Moser space)

Example - Assume that $c = 0$.

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}[V \times V^*] \rtimes W$$

Calogero-Moser spaces

- $c : \text{Ref}(W)/\sim \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathbf{H}_c = \mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*] \quad (\text{as a vector space})$$

$$\forall y \in V, \forall x \in V^*, [y, x] = \sum_{s \in \text{Ref}(W)} c_s \langle y, s(x) - x \rangle s$$

- $Z_c = Z(\mathbf{H}_c)$
- $\mathcal{Z}_c = \text{Specmax}(Z_c)$ (Calogero-Moser space)

Example - Assume that $c = 0$.

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}[V \times V^*] \rtimes W$$

$$Z_0 = \mathbb{C}[V \times V^*]^W$$

Calogero-Moser spaces

- $c : \text{Ref}(W)/\sim \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathbf{H}_c = \mathbb{C}[V] \otimes \mathbb{C}W \otimes \mathbb{C}[V^*] \quad (\text{as a vector space})$$

$$\forall y \in V, \forall x \in V^*, [y, x] = \sum_{s \in \text{Ref}(W)} c_s \langle y, s(x) - x \rangle s$$

- $Z_c = Z(\mathbf{H}_c)$

- $\mathcal{L}_c = \text{Specmax}(Z_c)$ (Calogero-Moser space)

Example - Assume that $c = 0$.

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}[V \times V^*] \rtimes W$$

$$Z_0 = \mathbb{C}[V \times V^*]^W$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = (V \times V^*)/W.$$

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

Theorem (Etingof-Ginzburg, 2002)

(a) Z_c is integral and integrally closed

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

Theorem (Etingof-Ginzburg, 2002)

- (a) Z_c is integral and integrally closed (i.e. \mathcal{Z}_c is irreducible and normal)

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

Theorem (Etingof-Ginzburg, 2002)

- (a) Z_c is integral and integrally closed (i.e. \mathcal{Z}_c is irreducible and normal)
- (b) If \mathcal{Z}_c is **smooth**, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod} & \longrightarrow & Z_c\text{-mod} \\ M & \longmapsto & eM \end{array}$$

is a Morita equivalence (here, $e = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} w$).

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

Theorem (Etingof-Ginzburg, 2002)

- (a) Z_c is integral and integrally closed (i.e. \mathcal{Z}_c is irreducible and normal)
- (b) If \mathcal{Z}_c is **smooth**, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod} & \longrightarrow & Z_c\text{-mod} \\ M & \longmapsto & eM = (e\mathbf{H}_c) \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c} M \end{array}$$

is a Morita equivalence (here, $e = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} w$).

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

Theorem (Etingof-Ginzburg, 2002)

- (a) Z_c is integral and integrally closed (i.e. \mathcal{Z}_c is irreducible and normal)
- (b) If \mathcal{Z}_c is **smooth**, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}_c\text{-mod} & \longrightarrow & Z_c\text{-mod} \\ M & \longmapsto & eM = (e\mathbf{H}_c) \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_c} M \end{array}$$

is a Morita equivalence (here, $e = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} w$).

- (c) If \mathcal{Z}_c is **smooth**, then

$$\begin{cases} H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{Z}_c) = 0 \\ H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \underbrace{\simeq}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}} \text{Grad}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \end{cases}$$

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

- H_c is \mathbb{Z} -graded with

$$\deg(V) = -1, \quad \deg(V^*) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(W) = 0.$$

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

- H_c is \mathbb{Z} -graded with

$$\deg(V) = -1, \quad \deg(V^*) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(W) = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow Z_c$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded (i.e. admits a \mathbb{C}^\times -action)

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

- H_c is \mathbb{Z} -graded with

$$\deg(V) = -1, \quad \deg(V^*) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(W) = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow Z_c$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded (i.e. admits a \mathbb{C}^\times -action)

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_c$ admits a \mathbb{C}^\times -action.

Calogero-Moser space (continued)

- H_c is \mathbb{Z} -graded with

$$\deg(V) = -1, \quad \deg(V^*) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(W) = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow Z_c$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded (i.e. admits a \mathbb{C}^\times -action)

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_c$ admits a \mathbb{C}^\times -action.

Theorem (Gordon, 2003)

If \mathcal{L}_c is **smooth**, then

$$\mathcal{L}_c^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(W)$$

Equivariant cohomology

Equivariant cohomology

- If \mathcal{X} is an algebraic variety endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action, one can define its **equivariant cohomology** $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$.

Equivariant cohomology

- If \mathcal{X} is an algebraic variety endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action, one can define its **equivariant cohomology** $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$.
- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]$, with $\deg(h) = 2$.

Equivariant cohomology

- If \mathcal{X} is an algebraic variety endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action, one can define its **equivariant cohomology** $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$.
- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]$, with $\deg(h) = 2$.
 $\Rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$ is a $\mathbb{C}[h]$ -algebra.

Equivariant cohomology

- If \mathcal{X} is an algebraic variety endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action, one can define its **equivariant cohomology** $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$.
- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]$, with $\deg(h) = 2$.
 $\Rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$ is a $\mathbb{C}[h]$ -algebra.

Theorem (folklore)

Assume that $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i . Then:

Equivariant cohomology

- If \mathcal{X} is an algebraic variety endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action, one can define its **equivariant cohomology** $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$.
- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]$, with $\deg(h) = 2$.
 $\Rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$ is a $\mathbb{C}[h]$ -algebra.

Theorem (folklore)

Assume that $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i . Then:

- (a) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i .

Equivariant cohomology

- If \mathcal{X} is an algebraic variety endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action, one can define its **equivariant cohomology** $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$.
- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]$, with $\deg(h) = 2$.
 $\Rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$ is a $\mathbb{C}[h]$ -algebra.

Theorem (folklore)

Assume that $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i . Then:

- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i .
- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$.

Equivariant cohomology

- If \mathcal{X} is an algebraic variety endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action, one can define its **equivariant cohomology** $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$.
- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]$, with $\deg(h) = 2$.
 $\Rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$ is a $\mathbb{C}[h]$ -algebra.

Theorem (folklore)

Assume that $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i . Then:

- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i .
- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$.
- The canonical map $i_{\mathcal{X}}^* : H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times})$ is injective.

Equivariant cohomology

- If \mathcal{X} is an algebraic variety endowed with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action, one can define its **equivariant cohomology** $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$.
- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\text{pt}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]$, with $\deg(h) = 2$.
 $\Rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^\bullet(\mathcal{X})$ is a $\mathbb{C}[h]$ -algebra.

Theorem (folklore)

Assume that $H^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i . Then:

- $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for all i .
- $H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$.
- The canonical map $i_{\mathcal{X}}^* : H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times})$ is injective.

Remark - $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) = \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{X})$.

Equivariant cohomology of smooth \mathcal{L}_c

Equivariant cohomology of smooth \mathcal{L}_c

Theorem (B.-Shan 2017)

If \mathcal{L}_c is **smooth**, then

(a) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0.$

(b) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \underbrace{\simeq}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W).$

Equivariant cohomology of smooth \mathcal{L}_c

Theorem (B.-Shan 2017)

If \mathcal{L}_c is **smooth**, then

(a) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0.$

(b) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \underbrace{\simeq}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W).$

Sketch of the proof. By folklore Theorem and Etingof-Ginzburg Theorem,

$$H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0$$

Equivariant cohomology of smooth \mathcal{L}_c

Theorem (B.-Shan 2017)

If \mathcal{L}_c is **smooth**, then

(a) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0.$

(b) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \underbrace{\simeq}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W).$

Sketch of the proof. By folklore Theorem and Etingof-Ginzburg Theorem,

$$H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0$$

$$H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \end{cases}$$

Equivariant cohomology of smooth \mathcal{L}_c

Theorem (B.-Shan 2017)

If \mathcal{L}_c is **smooth**, then

(a) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0.$

(b) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \underbrace{\simeq}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W).$

Sketch of the proof. By folklore Theorem and Etingof-Ginzburg Theorem,

$$H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0$$

$$H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \\ \text{Grad}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \end{cases}$$

Equivariant cohomology of smooth \mathcal{L}_c

Theorem (B.-Shan 2017)

If \mathcal{L}_c is **smooth**, then

(a) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0.$

(b) $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \underbrace{\simeq}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-alg}} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W).$

Sketch of the proof. By folklore Theorem and Etingof-Ginzburg Theorem,

$$H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2i+1}(\mathcal{L}_c) = 0$$

$$H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_c) \\ \text{Grad}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W). \end{cases}$$

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \\ \text{Grad}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(CW) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(CW). \end{cases}$$

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \\ \text{Grad}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(CW) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(CW). \end{cases}$$

By folklore Theorem and Gordon Theorem,

$$i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^* : H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c^{\mathbb{C}^\times})$$

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \\ \text{Grad}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W). \end{cases}$$

By folklore Theorem and Gordon Theorem,

$$i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^* : H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}W).$$

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$H^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \\ \text{Grad}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h]/\langle h \rangle \otimes \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W). \end{cases}$$

By folklore Theorem and Gordon Theorem,

$$i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^* : H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}W).$$

An easy argument based on comparison of dimensions shows that it is sufficient to prove that

$$(\star) \quad \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \text{Im}(i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^*).$$

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$(\star) \quad \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \text{Im}(i_{\mathcal{L}_c}^*).$$

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$(\star) \quad \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \text{Im}(i_{\mathcal{L}_c}^*).$$

If E is a graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, then $\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective \mathbf{H}_c -module,

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$(\star) \quad \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \text{Im}(i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^*).$$

If E is a graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, then $\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective \mathbf{H}_c -module, hence $e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective Z_c -module.

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$(\star) \quad \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \text{Im}(i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^*).$$

If E is a graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, then $\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective \mathbf{H}_c -module, hence $e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective Z_c -module.
 \Rightarrow equivariant Chern character $\text{ch}(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E) \in \hat{H}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c)$.

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$(\star) \quad \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \text{Im}(i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^*).$$

If E is a graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, then $\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective \mathbf{H}_c -module, hence $e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective Z_c -module.
 \Rightarrow equivariant Chern character $\text{ch}(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E) \in \hat{H}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c)$.

Theorem (Bellamy, 2009)

$$i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^*(\text{ch}(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E)) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)} q^{b_\chi} \frac{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \otimes E \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}}{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}} e_\chi^W$$

where $q = \exp(h)$ and $b_\chi = \text{val} \langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}$.

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$(\star) \quad \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \text{Im}(i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^*).$$

If E is a graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, then $\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective \mathbf{H}_c -module, hence $e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective Z_c -module.
 \Rightarrow equivariant Chern character $\text{ch}(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E) \in \hat{H}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c)$.

Theorem (Bellamy, 2009)

$$i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^*(\text{ch}(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E)) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)} q^{b_\chi} \frac{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \otimes E \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}}{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}} e_\chi^W$$

where $q = \exp(h)$ and $b_\chi = \text{val} \langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}$.

\Rightarrow (\star) holds (!).

Sketch of the proof (continued)

$$(\star) \quad \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}W) \subset \text{Im}(i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^*).$$

If E is a graded $\mathbb{C}W$ -module, then $\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective \mathbf{H}_c -module, hence $e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E$ is a graded projective Z_c -module.
 \Rightarrow equivariant Chern character $\text{ch}(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E) \in \hat{H}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{Z}_c)$.

Theorem (Bellamy, 2009)

$$i_{\mathcal{Z}_c}^*(\text{ch}(e\mathbf{H}_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}W} E)) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(W)} q^{b_\chi} \frac{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \otimes E \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}}{\langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}} e_\chi^W$$

where $q = \exp(h)$ and $b_\chi = \text{val} \langle \chi, \mathbb{C}[V]^{\text{co}(W)} \rangle_W^{\text{gr}}$.

\Rightarrow (\star) holds (!). QED

Back to example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$

Back to example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$

Theorem (B.-Maksimau, 2017)

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\mathfrak{S}_n)^{\mu_d} =$$

Back to example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$

Theorem (B.-Maksimau, 2017)

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\mathfrak{S}_n)^{\mu_d} = \coprod_{\substack{d\text{-cores } \gamma \\ \text{s.t. } d \mid n - |\gamma|}} \mathcal{L}_{c_\gamma}(G(d, 1, r_\gamma))$$

where $r_\gamma = (n - |\gamma|)/d$.

Back to example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$

Theorem (B.-Maksimau, 2017)

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\mathfrak{S}_n)^{\mu_d} = \coprod_{\substack{d\text{-cores } \gamma \\ \text{s.t. } d \mid n - |\gamma|}} \mathcal{L}_{c_\gamma}(G(d, 1, r_\gamma))$$

where $r_\gamma = (n - |\gamma|)/d$.

Fix such a d -core γ .

Back to example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$

Theorem (B.-Maksimau, 2017)

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\mathfrak{S}_n)^{\mu_d} = \coprod_{\substack{d\text{-cores } \gamma \\ \text{s.t. } d \mid n - |\gamma|}} \mathcal{L}_{c_\gamma}(G(d, 1, r_\gamma))$$

where $r_\gamma = (n - |\gamma|)/d$.

Fix such a d -core γ . By functoriality of equivariant cohomology, we get two maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_1(\mathfrak{S}_n)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\gamma^a} & H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_{c_\gamma}(G(d, 1, r_\gamma))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_1(\mathfrak{S}_n)^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\gamma^b} & H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{2\bullet}(\mathcal{L}_{c_\gamma}(G(d, 1, r_\gamma))^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) \end{array}$$

Back to example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$ (continued)

Back to example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$ (continued)

This leads to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\gamma}^a} & \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r_{\gamma})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\gamma}^b} & \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r_{\gamma})) \end{array}$$

Back to example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$ (continued)

This leads to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\gamma}^a} & \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r_{\gamma})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\gamma}^b} & \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r_{\gamma})) \end{array}$$

Using a theorem of Przezdziecki (2016), $\varphi_{\gamma}^b = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}[h]} \otimes \varphi_{\gamma}$ (!).

Back to example: $W = \mathfrak{S}_n$ (continued)

This leads to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\gamma}^a} & \text{Rees}_{\mathcal{F}} Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r_{\gamma})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\gamma}^b} & \mathbb{C}[h] \otimes Z(\mathbb{C}G(d, 1, r_{\gamma})) \end{array}$$

Using a theorem of Przedziecki (2016), $\varphi_{\gamma}^b = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}[h]} \otimes \varphi_{\gamma}$ (!). QED

Some comments

Some comments

- (1) One can deduce from this work a proof of a conjecture of Ginzburg-Kaledin on the cohomology of [symplectic resolutions](#) of $(V \times V^*)/W$ (B.-Shan, 2017).

Some comments

- (1) One can deduce from this work a proof of a conjecture of Ginzburg-Kaledin on the cohomology of [symplectic resolutions](#) of $(V \times V^*)/W$ (B.-Shan, 2017).
- (2) With R. Rouquier (2017), we have general conjectures about
 - ▶ equivariant cohomology of possibly singular Calogero-Moser spaces,

Some comments

- (1) One can deduce from this work a proof of a conjecture of Ginzburg-Kaledin on the cohomology of [symplectic resolutions](#) of $(V \times V^*)/W$ (B.-Shan, 2017).
- (2) With R. Rouquier (2017), we have general conjectures about
 - ▶ equivariant cohomology of possibly singular Calogero-Moser spaces,
 - ▶ fixed points under the action of μ_d

Some comments

- (1) One can deduce from this work a proof of a conjecture of Ginzburg-Kaledin on the cohomology of **symplectic resolutions** of $(V \times V^*)/W$ (B.-Shan, 2017).
 - (2) With R. Rouquier (2017), we have general conjectures about
 - ▶ equivariant cohomology of possibly singular Calogero-Moser spaces,
 - ▶ fixed points under the action of μ_d
- + The combinatoric fits with observations made in the representation theory of finite reductive groups

Some comments

- (1) One can deduce from this work a proof of a conjecture of Ginzburg-Kaledin on the cohomology of **symplectic resolutions** of $(V \times V^*)/W$ (B.-Shan, 2017).
 - (2) With R. Rouquier (2017), we have general conjectures about
 - ▶ equivariant cohomology of possibly singular Calogero-Moser spaces,
 - ▶ fixed points under the action of μ_d
- + The combinatoric fits with observations made in the representation theory of finite reductive groups
- ▶ **Lusztig families** of unipotent characters

Some comments

- (1) One can deduce from this work a proof of a conjecture of Ginzburg-Kaledin on the cohomology of **symplectic resolutions** of $(V \times V^*)/W$ (B.-Shan, 2017).
 - (2) With R. Rouquier (2017), we have general conjectures about
 - ▶ equivariant cohomology of possibly singular Calogero-Moser spaces,
 - ▶ fixed points under the action of μ_d
- + The combinatoric fits with observations made in the representation theory of finite reductive groups
- ▶ **Lusztig families** of unipotent characters
 - ▶ partition into blocks of unipotent characters (**d -Harish-Chandra theory**)

Some comments

- (1) One can deduce from this work a proof of a conjecture of Ginzburg-Kaledin on the cohomology of **symplectic resolutions** of $(V \times V^*)/W$ (B.-Shan, 2017).
 - (2) With R. Rouquier (2017), we have general conjectures about
 - ▶ equivariant cohomology of possibly singular Calogero-Moser spaces,
 - ▶ fixed points under the action of μ_d
- + The combinatoric fits with observations made in the representation theory of finite reductive groups
- ▶ **Lusztig families** of unipotent characters
 - ▶ partition into blocks of unipotent characters (**d -Harish-Chandra theory**)
- ? Theory of **spetses** (Broué-Malle-Michel).