#### Introduction to Deligne-Lusztig Theory

#### Cédric Bonnafé

CNRS (UMR 6623) - Université de Franche-Comté (Besançon)

#### Berkeley (MSRI), Feb. 2008

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• 
$$F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$$

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- $F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$
- F stabilizes  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- $F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$
- F stabilizes  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$

- $F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$
- F stabilizes  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

• Let 
$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}/\mu_{q+1}$$

- $F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$
- F stabilizes  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

• Let 
$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}/\mu_{q+1} = \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

- $F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$
- F stabilizes  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

• Let 
$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}/\mu_{q+1} = \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

• 
$$H_c^1(\mathbf{X}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$$

- $F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$
- F stabilizes  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

• Let 
$$\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{Y}/\mu_{q+1}=\mathbf{P}^1\setminus\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

$$\bullet \ H^1_c(\mathbf{X}) = H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$$

• 
$$0 = |\mathbf{X}^F|$$

• 
$$F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$$

- F stabilizes  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

• Let 
$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}/\mu_{q+1} = \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$$
  
•  $\mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$   
•  $\mathbf{0} = |\mathbf{X}^F| \underbrace{=}_{\text{Lefschetz}} q - \text{Tr}(F, \underbrace{\mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1}_{\text{dim. } q}).$ 

• 
$$F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$$

- F stabilizes  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Let} \ \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}/\mu_{q+1} = \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \mathsf{H}_c^1(\mathbf{X}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1 \\ \mathsf{H}_c^1(\mathbf{X}) = |\mathbf{X}^F| \underbrace{=}_{\mathsf{Lefschetz}} q - \mathrm{Tr}(F, \underbrace{H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1}_{\mathsf{dim.} q}) \\ \Rightarrow \rho_1 = 1. \end{array}$$

• 
$$F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$$

- F stabilizes  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

$$\begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{Let } \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}/\mu_{q+1} = \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \leftarrow \mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1 \\ \leftarrow \mathbf{0} = |\mathbf{X}^F| \underbrace{=}_{\text{Lefschetz}} q - \text{Tr}(F, \underbrace{\mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1}_{\text{dim. } q}). \\ \Rightarrow \rho_1 = 1. \end{array}$$

• By Schur's lemma, two eigenvalues on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$ :  $\rho_+$ ,  $\rho_-$ 

• 
$$F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$$

- F stabilizes  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

$$\begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{Let } \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}/\mu_{q+1} = \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \leftarrow \mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1 \\ \leftarrow \mathbf{0} = |\mathbf{X}^F| \underbrace{=}_{\text{Lefschetz}} q - \text{Tr}(F, \underbrace{\mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1}_{\text{dim. } q}). \\ \Rightarrow \rho_1 = 1. \end{array}$$

• By Schur's lemma, two eigenvalues on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$ :  $\rho_+$ ,  $\rho_-$  with multiplicities (q-1)/2

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문) (문)

• 
$$0 = |\mathbf{Y}^F|$$

• 
$$F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$$

- F stabilizes  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

► Let 
$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}/\mu_{q+1} = \mathbf{P}^1 \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$$
  
►  $\mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$   
►  $\mathbf{0} = |\mathbf{X}^F| \underbrace{=}_{\text{Lefschetz}} q - \text{Tr}(F, \underbrace{\mathcal{H}_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1}_{\text{dim. } q}).$   
⇒  $\rho_1 = 1.$ 

• By Schur's lemma, two eigenvalues on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$ :  $\rho_+$ ,  $\rho_-$  with multiplicities (q-1)/2

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문) (문)

$$\bullet \ 0 = |\mathbf{Y}^{\mathsf{F}}| = q - \operatorname{Tr}(\mathsf{F}, H^1_c(\mathbf{Y}))$$

• 
$$F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$$

- F stabilizes  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

• By Schur's lemma, two eigenvalues on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$ :  $\rho_+$ ,  $\rho_-$  with multiplicities (q-1)/2

$$\bullet \ 0 = |\mathbf{Y}^{F}| = q - \operatorname{Tr}(F, H_{c}^{1}(\mathbf{Y})) = q - \operatorname{Tr}(F, H_{c}^{1}(\mathbf{Y})_{1}) - \operatorname{Tr}(F, H_{c}^{1}(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_{0}})$$

• 
$$F(H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}) = H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta^{-1}}$$

- F stabilizes  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$  and  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$
- By Schur's lemma, only one eigenvalue on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_1$ :  $\rho_1$  ?

• By Schur's lemma, two eigenvalues on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta_0}$ :  $\rho_+$ ,  $\rho_-$  with multiplicities (q-1)/2

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문) (문)

► 
$$0 = |\mathbf{Y}^F| = q - \operatorname{Tr}(F, H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})) =$$
  
 $q - \operatorname{Tr}(F, H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})) - \operatorname{Tr}(F, H_c^1(\mathbf{Y}))$   
 $\Rightarrow q = -0$ 

| ◆ □ ▶ → □ ▶ → 三 ▶ → 三 → ○ ○ ○

•  $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow$   $F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H^1_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed)

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed) Note that  $\lambda_1 = 1$

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed) Note that  $\lambda_1 = 1$

◆□> ◆圖> ◆目> ◆目> 三日

• By Lefschetz Formula, we have, for all  $\xi \in \mu_{q+1}$ ,

$$|\mathbf{Y}^{\xi \mathcal{F}^2}|$$

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$ (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed) Note that  $\lambda_1 = 1$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

• By Lefschetz Formula, we have, for all  $\xi \in \mu_{q+1}$ ,

$$|\mathbf{Y}^{\xi \mathcal{F}^2}| = \underbrace{q^2}_{ ext{action on } H^2_c} - q\lambda_1 - \sum_{ heta 
eq 1}$$

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$ (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed) Note that  $\lambda_1 = 1$
- By Lefschetz Formula, we have, for all  $\xi \in \mu_{q+1}$ ,

$$|\mathbf{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{F}^2}| = \underbrace{q^2}_{\text{action on } H^2_c} - q\lambda_1 - \sum_{\theta \neq 1} \theta(\boldsymbol{\xi})$$

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$ (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed) Note that  $\lambda_1 = 1$
- By Lefschetz Formula, we have, for all  $\xi\in \mu_{q+1},$

$$|\mathbf{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^2}| = \underbrace{q^2}_{\text{action on } H^2_c} - q\lambda_1 - \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\neq 1} \boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$ (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed) Note that  $\lambda_1 = 1$
- By Lefschetz Formula, we have, for all  $\xi \in \mu_{q+1}$ ,

$$|\mathbf{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}^2}| \hspace{0.1 in} = \hspace{0.1 in} \underbrace{q^2}_{\text{action on } H^2_c} - q\lambda_1 - \sum_{\theta \neq 1} \theta(\boldsymbol{\xi})\lambda_{\theta}(q-1)$$

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$ (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed) Note that  $\lambda_1 = 1$
- By Lefschetz Formula, we have, for all  $\xi \in \mu_{q+1}$ ,

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{Y}^{\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{F}^2}| &= \underbrace{q^2}_{\text{action on }\boldsymbol{H}_c^2} -q\lambda_1 - \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\neq 1} \boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{q}-1) \\ &= q^2 - 1 - (\boldsymbol{q}-1)\sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\lambda_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}. \end{split}$$

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$ (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed) Note that  $\lambda_1 = 1$
- By Lefschetz Formula, we have, for all  $\xi \in \mu_{q+1}$ ,

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{Y}^{\xi F^2}| &= \underbrace{q^2}_{\text{action on } H_c^2} -q\lambda_1 - \sum_{\theta \neq 1} \theta(\xi) \lambda_{\theta}(q-1) \\ &= q^2 - 1 - (q-1) \sum_{\theta} \theta(\xi) \lambda_{\theta}. \end{split}$$
  
• On the other hand,  $|\mathbf{Y}^{\xi F^2}| = \begin{cases} q^3 - q & \text{if } \xi = -1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

- $F^2$  stabilizes  $H^i_c(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  and its action commutes with G
- Schur's lemma  $\Rightarrow F^2$  acts by scalar mult. by  $\lambda_{\theta}$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbf{Y})_{\theta}$  (well..., except for  $\theta_0$  where an extra-argument is needed) Note that  $\lambda_1 = 1$
- By Lefschetz Formula, we have, for all  $\xi \in \mu_{q+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{Y}^{\xi F^2}| &= \underbrace{q^2}_{\text{action on } H_c^2} -q\lambda_1 - \sum_{\theta \neq 1} \theta(\xi) \lambda_{\theta}(q-1) \\ &= q^2 - 1 - (q-1) \sum_{\theta} \theta(\xi) \lambda_{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$
  
• On the other hand,  $|\mathbf{Y}^{\xi F^2}| = \begin{cases} q^3 - q & \text{if } \xi = -1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ … 注。

$$\Rightarrow$$
 So  $\lambda_{\theta} = -\theta(-1)q$  if  $\theta \neq 1$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

From now on,  $\ell | q + 1$ ,  $\ell$  odd



From now on,  $\ell | q + 1$ ,  $\ell$  odd

Let S be the Sylow subgroup of  $\mu_{q+1}$ .

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### From now on, $\ell | q + 1$ , $\ell$ odd

Let S be the Sylow subgroup of  $\mu_{q+1}$ . We identify  $S^{\wedge}$  and  $(\mu_{q+1})^{\wedge}_{\ell}$ .

◆ロ▶ ◆母▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### From now on, $\ell | q + 1$ , $\ell$ odd

Let S be the Sylow subgroup of  $\mu_{q+1}$ . We identify  $S^{\wedge}$  and  $(\mu_{q+1})^{\wedge}_{\ell}$ . Blocks?

#### From now on, $\ell | q + 1$ , $\ell$ odd

Let S be the Sylow subgroup of  $\mu_{q+1}$ .

We identify  $S^{\wedge}$  and  $(\mu_{q+1})^{\wedge}_{\ell}$ .

#### Blocks?

• If  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  is a block of defect zero

#### From now on, $\ell | q + 1$ , $\ell$ odd

Let S be the Sylow subgroup of  $\mu_{q+1}$ .

We identify  $S^{\wedge}$  and  $(\mu_{q+1})^{\wedge}_{\ell}$ .

#### Blocks?

- If  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  is a block of defect zero
- $\{R^+_{lpha_0}\}$  and  $\{R^-_{lpha_0}\}$  are two blocks of defect zero

# Modular representations

### From now on, $\ell | q + 1$ , $\ell$ odd

Let S be the Sylow subgroup of  $\mu_{q+1}$ .

We identify  $S^{\wedge}$  and  $(\mu_{q+1})^{\wedge}_{\ell}$ .

### Blocks?

- If  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  is a block of defect zero
- $\{R^+_{lpha_0}\}$  and  $\{R^-_{lpha_0}\}$  are two blocks of defect zero
- (1) If  $\theta$  is an  $\ell$ -regular linear character of  $\mu_{q+1}$  such that  $\theta^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\{R'_{\theta\eta} \mid \eta \in S^{\wedge}\}$  is a block of defect S.

## Modular representations

### From now on, $\ell | q + 1$ , $\ell$ odd

Let S be the Sylow subgroup of  $\mu_{q+1}$ .

We identify  $S^{\wedge}$  and  $(\mu_{q+1})^{\wedge}_{\ell}$ .

### Blocks?

- If  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  is a block of defect zero
- $\{R^+_{lpha_0}\}$  and  $\{R^-_{lpha_0}\}$  are two blocks of defect zero
- (1) If  $\theta$  is an  $\ell$ -regular linear character of  $\mu_{q+1}$  such that  $\theta^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\{R'_{\theta\eta} \mid \eta \in S^{\wedge}\}$  is a block of defect S.
- (2)  $\{R_{\theta_0}'^+, R_{\theta_0}'^-\} \cup \{R_{\theta_0\eta}' \mid \eta \in S^{\wedge}, \eta \neq 1\}$  is a block of defect S

# Modular representations

### From now on, $\ell | q + 1$ , $\ell$ odd

Let S be the Sylow subgroup of  $\mu_{q+1}$ .

We identify  $S^{\wedge}$  and  $(\mu_{q+1})^{\wedge}_{\ell}$ .

### Blocks?

- If  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  is a block of defect zero
- $\{R^+_{\alpha_0}\}$  and  $\{R^-_{\alpha_0}\}$  are two blocks of defect zero
- (1) If  $\theta$  is an  $\ell$ -regular linear character of  $\mu_{q+1}$  such that  $\theta^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\{R'_{\theta\eta} \mid \eta \in S^{\wedge}\}$  is a block of defect S.
- (2)  $\{R_{\theta_0}'^+, R_{\theta_0}'^-\} \cup \{R_{\theta_0\eta}' \mid \eta \in S^{\wedge}, \eta \neq 1\}$  is a block of defect S
- (3)  $\{1_G, \operatorname{St}_G\} \cup \{R'_\eta \mid \eta \in S^\wedge, \eta \neq 1\}$ : principal block (defect S).

 Blocks are parametrized using the l'-part of linear characters of tori (in general, see Broué-Michel)

- Blocks are parametrized using the l'-part of linear characters of tori (in general, see Broué-Michel)
- Some Morita equivalences: "Jordan decomposition" (in general, see Broué for tori and B.-Rouquier for a more general situation)

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ● 三 のへで

- Blocks are parametrized using the l'-part of linear characters of tori (in general, see Broué-Michel)
- Some Morita equivalences: "Jordan decomposition" (in general, see Broué for tori and B.-Rouquier for a more general situation)
- Derived equivalences: Broué's abelian defect conjecture admits a "geometric version" (proved only for the "Coxeter" torus of GL<sub>n</sub>(F<sub>q</sub>) by B.-Rouquier: cyclic defect...)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- Blocks are parametrized using the l'-part of linear characters of tori (in general, see Broué-Michel)
- Some Morita equivalences: "Jordan decomposition" (in general, see Broué for tori and B.-Rouquier for a more general situation)
- Derived equivalences: Broué's abelian defect conjecture admits a "geometric version" (proved only for the "Coxeter" torus of GL<sub>n</sub>(F<sub>q</sub>) by B.-Rouquier: cyclic defect...)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

• Role of the Frobenius

- Blocks are parametrized using the l'-part of linear characters of tori (in general, see Broué-Michel)
- Some Morita equivalences: "Jordan decomposition" (in general, see Broué for tori and B.-Rouquier for a more general situation)
- Derived equivalences: Broué's abelian defect conjecture admits a "geometric version" (proved only for the "Coxeter" torus of GL<sub>n</sub>(F<sub>q</sub>) by B.-Rouquier: cyclic defect...)

- Role of the Frobenius
- What has been omitted?

- Blocks are parametrized using the l'-part of linear characters of tori (in general, see Broué-Michel)
- Some Morita equivalences: "Jordan decomposition" (in general, see Broué for tori and B.-Rouquier for a more general situation)
- Derived equivalences: Broué's abelian defect conjecture admits a "geometric version" (proved only for the "Coxeter" torus of GL<sub>n</sub>(F<sub>q</sub>) by B.-Rouquier: cyclic defect...)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

- Role of the Frobenius
- What has been omitted?
  - Non-abelian defect (for  $\ell = 2$  in G, see Gonard's thesis)

- Blocks are parametrized using the l'-part of linear characters of tori (in general, see Broué-Michel)
- Some Morita equivalences: "Jordan decomposition" (in general, see Broué for tori and B.-Rouquier for a more general situation)
- Derived equivalences: Broué's abelian defect conjecture admits a "geometric version" (proved only for the "Coxeter" torus of GL<sub>n</sub>(F<sub>q</sub>) by B.-Rouquier: cyclic defect...)
- Role of the Frobenius

### What has been omitted?

- Non-abelian defect (for  $\ell = 2$  in G, see Gonard's thesis)
- Decomposition matrices, Schur algebras

| ◆ □ ▶ → □ ▶ → 三 ▶ → 三 → ○ ○ ○

 Abhyankar's conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem): A finite group Γ is the Galois group of a Galois étale covering of A<sup>1</sup>(F) if and only if it is generated by its Sylow p-subgroups.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

 Abhyankar's conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem): A finite group Γ is the Galois group of a Galois étale covering of A<sup>1</sup>(F) if and only if it is generated by its Sylow p-subgroups.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ○○

Example:  $\Gamma = \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,

 Abhyankar's conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem): A finite group Γ is the Galois group of a Galois étale covering of A<sup>1</sup>(F) if and only if it is generated by its Sylow p-subgroups.

Example:  $\Gamma = \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{A}^1(\mathbb{F}) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & xy^{q^2} - yx^{q^2} \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ○○

 Abhyankar's conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem): A finite group Γ is the Galois group of a Galois étale covering of A<sup>1</sup>(F) if and only if it is generated by its Sylow p-subgroups.

Example:  $\Gamma = \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{A}^1(\mathbb{F}) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & xy^{q^2} - yx^{q^2} \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• q = 7,  $\mathbf{Y} / \{\pm 1\}$  is acted on by  $\mathbf{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ 

 Abhyankar's conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem): A finite group Γ is the Galois group of a Galois étale covering of A<sup>1</sup>(F) if and only if it is generated by its Sylow p-subgroups.

Example:  $\Gamma = \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{A}^1(\mathbb{F}) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & xy^{q^2} - yx^{q^2} \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

• q = 7,  $\mathbf{Y} / \{\pm 1\}$  is acted on by  $\mathbf{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7) \simeq \mathbf{GL}_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ :

 Abhyankar's conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem): A finite group Γ is the Galois group of a Galois étale covering of A<sup>1</sup>(F) if and only if it is generated by its Sylow p-subgroups.

Example:  $\Gamma = \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{A}^1(\mathbb{F}) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & xy^{q^2} - yx^{q^2} \end{array}$$

q = 7, Y/{±1} is acted on by PSL<sub>2</sub>(𝔽<sub>7</sub>) ≃ GL<sub>3</sub>(𝔽<sub>2</sub>): it is the reduction modulo 7 of the Klein's quartic

 Abhyankar's conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem): A finite group Γ is the Galois group of a Galois étale covering of A<sup>1</sup>(F) if and only if it is generated by its Sylow p-subgroups.

Example:  $\Gamma = \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{A}^1(\mathbb{F}) \\ (x,y) & \longmapsto & xy^{q^2} - yx^{q^2} \end{array}$$

q = 7, Y/{±1} is acted on by PSL<sub>2</sub>(𝔽<sub>7</sub>) ≃ GL<sub>3</sub>(𝔽<sub>2</sub>): it is the reduction modulo 7 of the Klein's quartic (whose group of automorphism is exactly PSL<sub>2</sub>(𝔽<sub>7</sub>), reaching Hurwitz' bound).