Space-time discontinuous Galerkin methods for the wave equation

Ilaria Perugia University of Vienna, Austria

Joint work with Andrea Moiola (Uni Pavia) Paul Stocker, Joachim Schöberl, Christoph Wintersteiger (Uni/TU Vienna) Pratyuksh Bansal, Christoph Schwab (ETH Zürich)





NEMESIS Workshop, June 14-15, 2021



why space-time? (instead of space discretization & time stepping)

- *high-order* approximation in both space and time is simple to obtain
- spectral convergence of the space-time error can be obtained by p-refinement
- stability is achieved under a *local* CFL condition
- the numerical solution is available at all times in (0,T)

drawback: high complexity

time dipendent problem in d space dimensions $\rightarrow (d+1)$ -dimensional problem



- model problem: the acoustic wave equation
- space-time discontinuous Galerkin (DG) discretization
- reduction of the complexity:
 - Trefftz basis functions + tent pitching [1], [2]
 - tensor-product (in time) elements and combination formula [3]

[1] A. Moiola, I. Perugia, A space-time Trefftz discontinuous Galerkin method for the acoustic wave equation in first-order formulation, *Num. Math.*, 139 (2018), 389-435.

[2] I. Perugia, J. Schöberl, P. Stocker, C. Wintersteiger, Tent pitching and Trefftz-DG method for the acoustic wave equation, *Comput. Math. with Appl.*, 70 (2020), 2987-3000.

[3] P. Bansal, A. Moiola, I. Perugia, C. Schwab, Space-time discontinuous Galerkin approximation of acoustic waves with point singularities, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, online.



the acoustic wave problem as a 1st order system

 $Q = \Omega \times (0,T), \ \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ Lipschitz, bounded polygon/polyhedron $c = c(\mathbf{x})$ piecewise constant on a fixed, finite polygonal/polyhedral partition $\{\Omega_i\}$ of Ω $f \in L^2(Q), v_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \sigma_0 \in L^2(\Omega)^d$

 $\begin{cases} \text{find } (v, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \text{ such that} \\ \nabla v + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + c^{-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = f \quad \text{ in } Q \\ v(\cdot, 0) = v_0, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\cdot, 0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \quad \text{ on } \Omega \\ v = 0 \quad \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T] \end{cases}$

2nd order wave equation (provided that To is a gradient) $v = \partial_t U$ $\rightarrow [-\Delta U + c^{-2} \partial_{tt} U = f i \mu Q] + initial/boundary$ $\sigma = -\nabla U$

 $U \in C^0 \left([0,T]; H^1_0(\Omega) \right) \cap C^1 \left([0,T]; L^2(\Omega) \right) \cap H^2(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$

[Dautray, Lions, 1992]



space-time finite element methods for wave problems

- early works (FEM): [Hughes, Hulbert, 1988, 1990], [French, 1993], [Johnson, 1993], ...
- DG: [Falk, Richter, 1999], [Yin, Acharya, Sobh, Haber, Tortorelli, 2000], [Monk, Richter, 2005], [Costanzo, Huang, 2005], [Abedi, Petracovici, Haber, 2006], [van der Vegt, 2006], [Feistauer, Hájek, Švadlenka, 2007], ..., [Gopalakrishnan, Monk, Sepúlveda, 2015], [Dörfler, Findeisen, Wieners, 2016], [Gopalakrishnan, Schöberl, Wintersteiger, 2017, 2019], ...
- Trefftz: [Maciąg, Wauer, Sokala, 2005–2011], [Liu, Kuo, 2016], [Petersen, Farhat, Tezaur, 2009], [Wang, Tezaur, Farhat, 2014] [Egger, Kretzschmar, Schnepp, Tzukermann, Weiland, 2014, 2015], [Banjai, Georgoulis, Lijoka, 2017], [Barucq, Calandra, Diaz, Shishenina, 2018, 2020], [1], [2]
- recent, on tensor-product meshes: [Steinbach, Zank, 2019], [Ernesti, Wieners, 2019], [3]



$$abla v + rac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + c^{-2} rac{\partial v}{\partial t} = f \qquad ext{in } Q \qquad \boxed{\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{wave}}(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = (f, \mathbf{0})}$$

multiply by test functions τ and w, respectively, and integrate by parts in $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$:

$$\iint_{Q} \left(\nabla v + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \tau \, dV + \iint_{Q} \left(\nabla \cdot \sigma + c^{-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) w \, dV = \iint_{Q} f w \, dV$$

space-time variational formulation

$$-\int_{Q} \left[v \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + c^{-2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right) + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left(\nabla w + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial t} \right) \right] \mathrm{d}V + \int_{\Omega \times \{T\}} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + c^{-2} v \, w) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \int_{Q} f \, w \, \mathrm{d}V + \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + c^{-2} v_{0} w) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$$

•
$$\nabla v + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$$
 holds in $C^0([0,T]; H_0(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)^*)$
• $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + c^{-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = f$ holds in $L^2(0,T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$

• v = 0 on $\partial \Omega \times [0, T]$ is imposed weakly

(details in [3])



$$\nabla v + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\partial t} = \boldsymbol{0}, \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + c^{-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = f \qquad \text{in } Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$$

- introduce a polytopic space-time mesh $\mathcal{T}_h = \{K\}$ of Q, with c constant in each element
- multiply by test functions and integrate by parts element by element
- discretize (v, σ) and (w, τ) in discontinuous, piecewise polynomial spaces $\mathbf{V}_p(\mathcal{T}_h)$
- replace interelement traces by numerical fluxes

elemental DG formulation

$$-\int_{K} \left[v_{h} \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} + c^{-2} \frac{\partial w_{h}}{\partial t} \right) + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} \cdot \left(\nabla w_{h} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{h}}{\partial t} \right) \right] \mathrm{d}V \\ + \int_{\partial K} \left[\left(\widehat{v}_{h} \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h} \, w_{h} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K}^{\mathbf{x}} + \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} + c^{-2} \, \widehat{v}_{h} \, w_{h} \right) n_{K}^{t} \right] \mathrm{d}S = \int_{K} f \, w_{h} \, \mathrm{d}V$$

where $(\mathbf{n}_{K}^{\mathbf{x}}, n_{K}^{t}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ denotes the unit normal vector to ∂K pointing outside K

global DG formulation

add over all
$$K \in \mathcal{T}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{DG}}(v_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h; w_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) = \ell_{\mathsf{DG}}(w_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h)$$

Assumption on the meshes and numerical fluxes



assumption on \mathcal{T}_h

each internal face F is either

- space-like: $c |\mathbf{n}_{F}^{\mathbf{x}}| < n_{F}^{t} \ (F \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\mathsf{space}}), \text{ or }$
- time-like: $n_F^t = 0 \ (F \subset \mathcal{F}_h^{\mathsf{time}})$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_h^0 &:= \Omega \times \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_h^T := \Omega \times \{T\} \\ \mathcal{F}_h^\partial &:= \partial \Omega \times (0,T) \end{split}$$



assumptions on the numerical fluxes

$$\widehat{v}_{h} := \begin{cases} v_{h}^{-} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{space}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{h}^{T} \quad (\text{upwind fluxes}) \\ \{ v_{h} \} + \beta \llbracket \sigma_{h} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} & \widehat{\sigma}_{h} := \begin{cases} \sigma_{h}^{-} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{space}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{h}^{T} \quad (\text{upwind fluxes}) \\ \{ \sigma_{h} \} + \alpha \llbracket v_{h} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{time}} \\ \sigma_{0} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{0} \\ \sigma_{h} - \alpha v \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^{\mathbf{x}} & \text{on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{0} \end{cases}$$

$$\boxed{ \alpha, \beta \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{time}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\partial}); \quad \alpha = \beta = 0 \quad [\text{Egger \& al., 2014}], \quad \alpha\beta \ge \frac{1}{4} \quad [\text{Monk, Richter, 2005}] \end{cases}$$



recall the definition of the wave operator
$$\mathcal{L}_{wave}(w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) := \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + c^{-2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}, \nabla w + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial t} \right)$$

assumption on $\mathbf{V}_p(\mathcal{T}_h)$

for all
$$(w_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) \in \mathbf{V}_p(\mathcal{T}_h), \quad \mathcal{L}_{wave}(w_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) \in \mathbf{V}_p(\mathcal{T}_h)$$

this is satisfied, e.g., if the restriction of $\mathbf{V}_p(\mathcal{T}_h)$ to each mesh element is made of

- total degree space-time polynomials $\mathbb{P}^p_{\mathbf{x},t}$,
- tensor product (in time) polynomials $\mathbb{P}^p_{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbb{P}^p_t$,
- Trefftz polynomials $\mathcal{L}_{wave}(w_h, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h) = (0, \mathbf{0})$

• case of tensor product (in time) meshes^{*}

key property: coercivity in seminorm

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{DG}}(v_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h; v_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h) = |(v_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h)|^2_{\mathsf{DG}}$$

DG seminorm

$$\begin{split} |(w, \boldsymbol{\tau})|_{\mathsf{DG}}^{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| c^{-1} \llbracket w \rrbracket_{t} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\mathsf{space}})}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau} \rrbracket_{t} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\mathsf{space}})^{d}}^{2} + \left\| \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\mathsf{time}})^{d}}^{2} + \left\| \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \llbracket \boldsymbol{\tau} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\mathsf{time}})}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\| c^{-1} w \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{0} \cup \mathcal{F}_{h}^{T})}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{\tau} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{0} \cup \mathcal{F}_{h}^{T})^{d}}^{2} + \left\| \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} w \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{0})}^{2} \end{split}$$

by adapting [Monk, Richter, 2005], one deduces well-posedness, with no condition on h_t



^{*}The case of general, admissible meshes requires minor, technical changes.



Well-posedness

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{DCr}(v_{e_{1}},\sigma_{a_{1}};w_{e_{1}}\tau_{e_{1}}) &= 0 \quad \forall (w_{e_{1}},\tau_{a_{1}}) \in V_{p}(\tau_{e_{1}}) \implies (v_{e_{1}},\sigma_{a}) = (0,0) \\ i) \quad (w_{e_{1}},\tau_{a_{1}}) &= (v_{e_{1}},\sigma_{a_{1}}) \implies 0 = \mathcal{A}_{DC}(v_{e_{1}},\sigma_{e_{1}};v_{e_{1}},\sigma_{e_{1}}) = |(v_{e_{1}},\sigma_{a})|^{2}_{DG} \\ \implies \text{all jumps and boundary faces of } v_{e_{1}} \text{ and } \sigma_{\overline{e}_{1}} \text{ are 2ero} \\ ii) \quad \text{due to } i), \quad (\star) \quad \text{gives that, } \forall (w_{e_{1}},\tau_{e_{1}}) \in V_{p}(\tau_{e_{1}}), \\ \int_{k} \left(\left(\nabla \cdot \sigma_{e_{1}} + c^{-2} \frac{\partial v_{e_{1}}}{\partial t} \right) w_{e_{1}} + \left(\nabla v_{e_{1}} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{e_{1}}}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \tau_{e_{1}} \right) dV = 0 \\ iii) \quad \text{take } w_{e_{1}} = \mathcal{I} \quad \text{and } \tau_{e_{1}} = \mathcal{I} \quad \text{and deduce that } (v_{e_{1}},\sigma_{e}) \\ \text{solves the homogeneous wave equation in each } k \\ \text{ev}) \quad \text{Thom } i) \text{ and } iii), \quad \text{deduce that } (v_{e_{1}},\sigma_{e}) = (0,0) . \end{split}$$

• case of tensor product (in time) meshes

error estimates (with no condition on h_t)

assume that all the traces of the analytical solution on mesh faces are in L^2 \rightarrow error bound in the L^2 norm in space at every discrete time t_n :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| c^{-1}(v-v_h) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \{t_n\})} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h \right\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \{t_n\})^d} &\leq |(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) - (v_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h)|_{\mathsf{DG}(Q_n)} \\ &\lesssim |(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \underbrace{\Pi(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma})}_{\in \mathbf{V}_p(\mathcal{T}_h)} |_{\mathsf{DG}^+} \end{aligned}$$

(proven by restricting to partial space-time cylinders $Q_n = \Omega \times (0, t_n)$)

projector Π :

- total degree space-time polynomials $\mathbb{P}^{p}_{\mathbf{x},t}$: construction in [Monk, Richter, 2005]
- tensor product (in time) polynomials $\mathbb{P}^p_{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbb{P}^p_t$: L² projection [3]
- Trefftz polynomials: best approximation [1]







recall:
$$\mathcal{L}_{wave}(w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) = \left(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + c^{-2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}, \ \nabla w + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial t} \right)$$

Trefftz spaces

continuous spaces	$\mathbf{T}(K) := \left\{ (w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in H^1(K) \colon \mathcal{L}_{wave}(w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) = (0, 0) \right\}$	
	$\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h) := \Big\{ (w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)^{1+d} \colon (w, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{ _K} \in \mathbf{T}(K)$	$\forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \Big\}$
discrete spaces	$\mathbf{V}_p(K) \subset \mathbf{T}(K), \ \mathbf{V}_p(\mathcal{T}_h) \subset \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$	

in each element K, the *linear* operator \mathcal{L}_{wave} is

- homogeneous (= all terms are derivatives of the *same* order)
- with constant coefficients

 \Rightarrow Taylor polynomials of (smooth) functions in ker(\mathcal{L}_{wave}) are in ker(\mathcal{L}_{wave})

therefore, we can choose $\mathbf{V}_p(K) \subset \mathbf{T}(K)$

- as a subspace of the polynomial space $\mathbb{P}^{p}(K)^{1+d}$
- with the same order of approximation in h as $\mathbb{P}^p(K)^{1+d}$ for functions in ker(\mathcal{L}_{wave})



Example:

$$\mathbb{T}^{p}(K) := \left\{ u \in \mathbb{P}^{p}(K) : -\Delta u + c^{-2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} = 0 \right\} \qquad \mathbf{V}_{p}(K) := \left(\frac{\partial \mathbb{T}^{p+1}(K)}{\partial t}, -\nabla(\mathbb{T}^{p+1}(K)) \right)$$

 ${f \circ}$ reduction of number of degrees of freedom to that of a d-dimensional problem

	Trefftz polyn. $\mathbb{T}^p(K)$	full polyn. $\mathbb{P}^p(K)$
d+1 = 1+1	2p + 1	$\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(p+2)$
d+1 = 2+1	$(p+1)^2$	$\frac{1}{6}(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)$
d+1 = 3+1	$\frac{1}{6}(p+1)(p+2)(2p+3)$	$\frac{1}{24}(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)$
	$\mathcal{O}(p^d)$	$\mathcal{O}(p^{d+1})$

 $\dim(\mathbb{T}^p(K)) = \mathcal{O}(p^d) \ \ll \ \dim(\mathbb{P}^p(K)) = \mathcal{O}(p^{d+1})$

• same orders of approximation in h as with the full polynomial spaces





[2] P., Schöberl, Stocker, Wintersteiger, 2020

d = 1, smooth solution, Cartesian mesh; Trefftz (blue) and \mathbb{Q}^p (orange) polynomials



p-version: error (in $L^2(\Omega \times \{T\})$) vs. polynomial degree (left) and number of dof.s (right)



[1] Moiola, P., 2018

- in $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, the DG seminorm is actually a norm
- existence and uniqueness of solutions follow from $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{DG}}(v_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h; v_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h) = |(v_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h)|^2_{\mathsf{DG}}$
- error bounds in the (spatial) L^2 norm on space-like interfaces (e.g. on $\Omega \times \{t_n\}$) and in DG norm also follow
- error bounds in a global, mesh-independent norm $(L^2(Q))$, in the best case scenario^{*}) have also been proven in [1] by a modified duality argument from [Monk, Wang, 1999]

piecewise smooth coefficients: space-time quasi-Trefftz DG method [Imbert-Gérard, Moiola, Stocker, 2020]

*i.e. for d = 1 or d > 1 and no time-like faces (for impedance b.c.);

in $H^{-1}(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \times L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega)^d)$ for tensor product elements (with Dirichlet b.c.)



PDE-driven, front-advancing mesh construction technique



- progressively advancing in time and stacking tent-pitched objects on top of each other
- each tent is union of (d + 1)-dimensional simplices
- the high of each tent (local advancement in time) is chosen so that the casuality constraint of the PDE is respected (*local* CFL condition)
- \rightarrow the PDE is explicitly solvable within each tent

[Falk, Richter, 1999], [Yin, Acharya, Sobh, Haber, Tortorelli, 2000] [Üngör, Sheffer, 2002],
[Monk, Richter, 2005], [Abedi, Petracovici, Haber, 2006], ...,
[Gopalakrishnan, Monk, Sepúlveda, 2015], [Gopalakrishnan, Schöberl, Wintersteiger, 2017, 2019]

















solution at the tent bottom \rightarrow solution at the tent top

• Trefftz (no volume terms): solution of local problems [1], [2]; for an interior tent:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial K^{\mathsf{top}}} \left((v_h \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h \, w_h) \cdot \mathbf{n}_K^{\mathbf{x}} + \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_h + c^{-2} \, v_h \, w_h \right) n_K^t \right) \mathrm{d}S \\ &= -\int_{\partial K^{\mathsf{bot}}} \left((v_h^{\mathsf{bot}} \, \boldsymbol{\tau}_h + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^{\mathsf{bot}} \, w_h) \cdot \mathbf{n}_K^{\mathbf{x}} + \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h^{\mathsf{bot}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_h + c^{-2} \, v_h^{\mathsf{bot}} \, w_h \right) n_K^t \right) \mathrm{d}S \end{split}$$

• mapping + RK or Taylor

[Gopalakrishnan, Schöberl, Wintersteiger, 2017, 2019]













the solution within these two tents can be evolved in parallel































d=2 and refined mesh towards a corner







[2] P., Schöberl, Stocker, Wintersteiger, 2020

$d=3,\,{\rm smooth}$ solution, Trefftz on tent-pitched meshes; h- and p-version



convergence of order p + 1 in h (left) and exponential convergence in p (right)

d = 2, smooth solution, Trefftz; tensor product (in time) meshes and tent-pitching



universität wien



d = 2, singular solution, Trefftz on tent-pitched meshes

$$U(r,\varphi,t) = \cos(10\,t)\sin(\nu\,\varphi)J_{\nu}(10\,r)$$
$$\nu = \frac{2}{3} \quad \rightarrow \quad U \in H^{\frac{5}{3}-\varepsilon}(Q)$$





p = 3; spatial mesh at t = 0: uniform (blue) or with corner refinement (orange)



Regularity theory in 2D [Kokotov, Plamenevskii, 1999, 2004], [Luong, Tung, 2015]

 $S := \{ \mathbf{c}_j, j = 1, \dots, M \}$ set of all vertices of $\{ \Omega_i \}$, in which c is piecewise constant

acoustic waves exhibit conical singularities at S: regularity results are given in weighted Sobolev spaces in Ω with weight function

$$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^{M} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_j|^{\delta_j}, \quad \delta_j \in [0, 1)^*$$

 $\text{e.g. } |u|_{H^{1,1}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\Omega)} := \|\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{2}} \qquad (H^{1,1}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}(\Omega) \not\subset H^{1}(\Omega))$

(used for the analysis of DG + time-stepping [Müller, Schötzau, Schwab, 2018]).

Example: if $v_0, u_0 \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $\sigma_0 = -\nabla u_0$, $f \in C_0^{\infty}(Q)$, $\exists \delta \in [0, 1)^M$ such that $\forall k_t, k_x \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$v \in C^{k_t - 1}([0, T]; H^{k_x + 1, 2}_{\delta}(\Omega)) \qquad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in C^{k_t}([0, T]; H^{k_x, 1}_{\delta}(\Omega))^2$$

[Müller, 2017]

$$^{*} \|u\|_{H^{k,\ell}_{\delta}(\Omega)}^{2} := \|u\|_{H^{\ell-1}(\Omega)}^{2} + |u|_{H^{k,\ell}_{\delta}(\Omega)}^{2}, \quad |u|_{H^{k,\ell}_{\delta}(\Omega)}^{2} := \sum_{m=\ell}^{k} \int_{\Omega} \left(\Phi_{\delta+m-\ell} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^{\alpha}u|^{2} \right) d\mathbf{x}.$$



[3] Bansal, Moiola, P., Schwab, 2020

tensor product (in time) space-time meshes

- time mesh: $\mathcal{T}_{h_t}^t$ partition of (0,T) into N intervals I_n
- spatial meshes: for each $1 \leq n \leq N$, $\mathcal{T}_{h_{\mathbf{x},n}}^{\mathbf{x}}$ shape-regular mesh of Ω
 - with non-degenerating faces
 - aligned with $\{\Omega_i\}$
 - \bullet each mesh element touches at most one element of ${\mathcal S}$
- space-time mesh: $\mathcal{T}_h := \mathcal{T}_h(Q) := \{ K = K_{\mathbf{x}} \times I_n : K_{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{T}_{h_{\mathbf{x},n}}^{\mathbf{x}}, \ 1 \le n \le N \}$

abstract error analysis (Galerkin error $\leq L^2$ projection error)

the critical solution regularity is the regularity in space of σ close to any $\mathbf{c} \in S$:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{if } F \text{ is a time-like face of an element } K \text{ adjacent to a corner } \mathbf{c}, \\ \text{ then } \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{|_{F}} \in L^{1}(F)^{2}, \text{ not necessarily } L^{2}(F)^{2} \end{array}$

→ modify the DG seminorm and apply Hölder in L^1 - L^∞ (instead of Cauchy-Schwarz) [Wihler, 2002]



mesh grading in space (like in the elliptic case)

lack of smoothness \rightarrow loss in the accuracy of the L^2 projection of the solution in the elements $K = K_x \times I_n$ that are close to any $\mathbf{c} \in S$

a reduction of the size of $K_{\mathbf{x}}$ depending on

- the corner weight $\delta_{\mathbf{c}}$
- the polynomial approximation degree p_K

can restore the largest possible convergence rates

suitable graded spatial meshes $\mathcal{T}_{h_{\mathbf{x}}}^{\mathbf{x}}$ can be constructed from a quasi-uniform initial mesh $\mathcal{T}_{0}^{\mathbf{x}}$ of Ω of size $h_{\mathbf{x}}$ by J levels of local bisection refinement $(J = J(h_{\mathbf{x}}, \delta_{\mathbf{c}}, p_K))$ [Gaspoz, Morin, 2009]





assume, for simplicity, constant c, uniform p

- fix $h_t, h_x > 0$, and construct the uniform mesh $\mathcal{T}_{h_t}^t$ and the locally refined mesh $\mathcal{T}_{h_x}^x$
- on any time-like face F, define the numerical flux parameters as $\alpha = \beta^{-1} = \frac{h_{\mathbf{x}}}{c |F_{\mathbf{x}}|}$
- assume that $ch_t \simeq h_{\mathbf{x}}$ ($h_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the size of the largest element of $\mathcal{T}_{h_{\mathbf{x}}}^{\mathbf{x}}$)

error bounds

for every discrete time t_n , we have

$$\left\| c^{-1}(v-v_h) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \{t_n\})} + \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \{t_n\})^2} \le |(v, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) - (v_h, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_h)|_{\mathsf{DG}(Q_n)} \lesssim h^{p+\frac{1}{2}}$$

(same convergence rates as for smooth solutions)

Remark: dim $(\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)) = \mathcal{O}(h^{-3})$ (like for a (2+1)-dimensional elliptic problem)

Q: Can we obtain the same convergence rates with $O(h^{-2})$ degrees of freedom? (like for a 2-dimensional elliptic problem)

Combination formula

- the assumption $c h_t \simeq h_x$ is necessary to obtain the highest convergence rates
- \bullet stability of the DG formulation and best approximation-type estimates are valid with no condition on h_t
- the solutions obtained with any sotropic (in time) space-time meshes are not accurate, still they contain meaningful information

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{(0,0)} & \text{coarsest space-time mesh} \\ \mathcal{T}_{(L,L)} & \text{finest space-time mesh (red)} \\ \mathcal{T}_{(l_{\mathbf{x}},l_t)} & \text{intermediate meshes} \\ \mathbf{w}_{(l_{\mathbf{x}},l_t)} & := (v_{(l_{\mathbf{x}},l_t)}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{(l_{\mathbf{x}},l_t)}) \text{ solution on } \mathcal{T}_{(l_{\mathbf{x}},l_t)} \\ \mathbf{w}_F & := \mathbf{w}_{(L,L)} \text{ full space-time solution} \\ \mathbf{w}_S & := \sum_{l=0}^{L} \mathbf{w}_{(l,L-l)} - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mathbf{w}_{(l-1,L-l)} \text{ "sparse" solution} \end{aligned}$$



[Bungartz, Griebel, 2004]

universität

Count of degrees of freedom (*h*-version): # d.o.f.s for $\mathbf{w}_F \lesssim 2^{3L} = \mathcal{O}(h_L^{-3})$ # d.o.f.s for $\mathbf{w}_S \lesssim 2^{2L} = \mathcal{O}(h_L^{-2})$

 $(\simeq \text{ one time-step on the finest spatial mesh})$





[3] Bansal, Moiola, P., Schwab, 2020

Expected convergence rates: full $\mathcal{O}(Ndofs)^{-\frac{p+1/2}{3}}$, sparse $\mathcal{O}(Ndofs)^{-\frac{p+1/2}{2}}$



p = 1, full (blue), sparse (red) smooth solution, uniform meshes (left), conical singularity, spatially graded meshes (right)

Obtained convergence rates: full $\mathcal{O}(Ndofs)^{-\frac{p+1}{3}}$, sparse $\mathcal{O}(Ndofs)^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}$





[3] Bansal, Moiola, P., Schwab, 2020

Expected convergence rates: full $\mathcal{O}(Ndofs)^{-\frac{p+1/2}{3}}$, sparse $\mathcal{O}(Ndofs)^{-\frac{p+1/2}{2}}$



p = 2, full (blue), sparse (red) smooth solution, uniform meshes (left), conical singularity, spatially graded meshes (right)

Obtained convergence rates: full $\mathcal{O}(Ndofs)^{-\frac{p+1}{3}}$, sparse $\mathcal{O}(Ndofs)^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}$



Thank you for your attention!