## 1. $G L_{n}(D)$ and Hecke algebras

Let $F$ be a local field, $o$ its ring of integers and $i$ the maximal ideal of $o$. Let $q$ be the cardinal of the residual field $o / i$. Let $D$ be a central division algebra of dimension $d^{2}$ over $F$. Let $O$ be the ring of integers of $D$ and $I$ the maximal ideal of $O$. Let $\pi$ be a uniformizer for $D$. Set $G=G L_{n}(D)$. Set $K_{0}=G L_{n}(O)$ and, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, K_{j}=1+M_{n}\left(I^{d j}\right)$. Let $H$ be the convolution algebra of locally constant functions on $G$ with compact support. For each $j$, let $H_{j}$ be the sub-algebra of $H$ formed by the $K_{j}$ bi-invariant functions. $H_{j}$ will be called the Hecke algebra of level $j$. Let $Z$ be the center of $G$. If $g \in G, g$ is called regular semi-simple if its characteristic polynomial has distinct roots in an algebraic closure of $F$. It is called elliptic if moereover its characteristic polynomial is irreducible (sometimes this is called regular elliptic).

Recall the Cartan decomposition. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of matrices $\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ such that $a_{i, j}=\delta_{i, j} \pi^{a_{i}}$ where $\delta_{i, j}$ is the Kronecker symbol and $a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq \ldots \leq a_{n}$. Then we have:

$$
G=\coprod_{A \in \mathcal{A}} K_{0} A K_{0}
$$

So, the caracteristic functions of sets $K_{0} A K_{0}$ form a basis of $H_{0}$ when $A$ lies in $\mathcal{A}$. If $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $K_{j}$ is a normal sub-group or $K_{0}$. The kernel of the natural projection from $K_{0}$ onto $G L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right)$ is $K_{j}$, so there is a canonical isomorphim $K_{0} / K_{j} \simeq G L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right)$. So we will identify these two groups. In particular we write:

$$
K_{0}=\coprod_{B \in G L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right)} K_{j} B=\coprod_{B \in G L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right)} B K_{j} .
$$

Now set $T_{j}=G L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right) \times G L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right)$. The Cartan decomposition may then be written:

$$
G=\coprod_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \cup_{(B, C) \in T_{j}} K_{j} B A C^{-1} K_{j} .
$$

It is not a disjoint union. However, two sets like in the union are either equal, either disjoint. Let $X_{A}$ be the sub-group of $G L_{n}(O) \times G L_{n}(O)$ made of couples $(B, C)$ such that $B A C^{-1}=A$. Let $H_{A, j}$ be the image of $X_{A}$ in $T_{j}$. Then we have $K_{j} B A C^{-1} K_{j}=K_{j} b A c^{-1} K_{j}$ if and only if $\left(b^{-1} B, c^{-1} C\right) \in H_{A, j}$. So, the set $K_{j} B A C^{-1} K_{j}$ is well defined for $(B, C) \in T_{j} / H_{A, j}$, and we have:

$$
G=\coprod_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \coprod_{(B, C) \in T_{j} / H_{A, j}} K_{j} B A C^{-1} K_{j}
$$

So, the set of caracteristic functions of sets $K_{j} B A C^{-1} K_{j}$ is a basis of $H_{j}$ when $A$ lies in $\mathcal{A}$ and, for every such $A,(B, C)$ lies in $T_{j} / H_{A, j}$. For al this, see [Ba2].

## 2. $G L_{n}(D)$ AND CLOSE FIELDS

Now suppose $L$ is another local field. All the objects we described before are defined for $L$ too, and will take an index $F$ or $L$ in the following, to specify the field they are attached to. Suppose that there is an isomorphism $\lambda_{j}: o_{F} / i_{F}^{j} \simeq o_{L} / i_{L}^{j}$ for some positive integer $j$. We say then that the fields $F$ and $L$ are $j$-close. If $D_{L}$ is the central division algebra of dimension $d^{2}$ over $L$ with the same Hasse invariant as $D_{F}$, then $\lambda_{j}$ induces an isomorphism $O_{F} / I_{F}^{d j} \simeq O_{L} / I_{L}^{d j}$, which we still denote by $\lambda_{j}$. Fix an uniformizer $\pi_{L}$ of $D_{L}$ such that the image by $\lambda_{j}$ of the class of $\pi_{L}$ is the class of $\pi_{F}$. The set $\mathcal{A}_{L}$ is defined with respect to this choice, and we get a natural bijection still denoted $\lambda_{j}$ from $\mathcal{A}_{F}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{L}$. It is clear that the isomorphisme $\lambda_{j}: O_{F} / I_{F}^{d j} \simeq O_{L} / I_{L}^{d j}$ induces an isomorphism $\lambda_{j}: T_{j, F} \simeq T_{j, L}$. One may prove that the restriction of this isomorphism induces, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_{F}$ an isomorphisme between the sub-groups $H_{A, j, F}$ and $H_{\lambda_{j}(A), j, L}$. So we get a natural bijection between the basis of $H_{j, F}$ and $H_{j, L}$ which defines un isomorphisme $\lambda_{j}$ between these two vector spaces.

One may show that, if $l \leq j, \lambda_{j}$ induces an isomorphism between $o_{F} / i_{F}^{l}$ and $o_{L} / i_{L}^{l}$, so the fields $F$ and $L$ are also $l$-close. If we use this isomorphism and the same choice of uniformizer for $D_{F}$ and $D_{L}$, then the isomorpism $\lambda_{l}: H_{l, F} \simeq H_{l, L}$ obtained is induced by the restriction of the isomorphisme $\lambda_{j}: H_{j, F} \simeq H_{j, L}$. If $K$ is a compact subset of $G_{F}$ bi-invariant by $K_{j, F}$, its characteristic function is an element of $H_{j, F}$, and the image by $\lambda_{j}$ of this function in $H_{j, L}$ is the charactersitic function of an open compact set denoted $\lambda_{j}(K)$. Fix Haar mesures on $G_{F}$ (resp. $\left.G_{L}\right)$ such that the volume of the sub-group $K_{0, F}$ (resp. $K_{0, L}$ ) be one. Then the volume of $\lambda_{j}(K)$ equals the volume of $K$. All these results are proven in [Ba2].

## 3. $S L_{n}(D)$ and Hecke algebras

We forget $L$ for a moment and we turn back to our $F, D$ and the construction of the beginning. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the sub-group $S L_{n}(D)$ of $G$. For all positive integer $j$, set $K_{j}^{\prime}=K_{j} \cap G^{\prime}$. The $K_{j}^{\prime}$ make a basis of open compact neighborwood of 1 in $G^{\prime}$. Let $H_{j}^{\prime}$ be the Hecke algebra of level $j$ of $G^{\prime}$ made by $K_{j}^{\prime}$-bi-invariant functions on $G^{\prime}$ which have compact support. Set $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\mathcal{A} \cap G^{\prime}$. The kernel of the natural projection from $K_{0}^{\prime}$ onto $S L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right)$ is $K_{j}^{\prime}$, so there is a canonical isomorphim $K_{0}^{\prime} / K_{j}^{\prime} \simeq S L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right)$ and we will identify these two groups. Now let $T_{j}^{\prime}$ be the sub-group $S L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right) \times S L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right)$ of $T_{j}$. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, set $H_{A, j}^{\prime}=H_{A, j} \cap T_{j}^{\prime}$. Let $Z^{\prime}=Z \cap G^{\prime}$ be the center of $G^{\prime}$.

Proposition 3.1. For every $(B, C) \in T_{j}^{\prime} / H_{A, j}^{\prime}, K_{j}^{\prime} B A C^{-1} K_{j}^{\prime}$ is well defined and we have

$$
G^{\prime}=\coprod_{A \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}} \coprod_{(B, C) \in T_{j}^{\prime} / H_{A, j}^{\prime}} K_{j}^{\prime} B A C^{-1} K_{j}^{\prime} .
$$

Proof. We use the Cartan decomposition

$$
G^{\prime}=\coprod_{A \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}} K_{0}^{\prime} A K_{0}^{\prime}
$$

As

$$
K_{0}^{\prime}=\coprod_{B \in K_{0}^{\prime} / K_{j}^{\prime}} K_{j}^{\prime} B=\coprod_{B \in K_{0}^{\prime} / K_{j}^{\prime}} B K_{j}^{\prime} .
$$

and $K_{0}^{\prime} / K_{j}^{\prime} \simeq S L_{n}\left(O / I^{j}\right)$, we have

$$
G^{\prime}=\coprod_{A \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}} \cup_{(B, C) \in T_{j}^{\prime}} K_{j}^{\prime} B A C^{-1} K_{j}^{\prime}
$$

Now, suppose that $K_{j}^{\prime} B A C^{-1} K_{j}^{\prime}=K_{j}^{\prime} b A c^{-1} K_{j}^{\prime}$ for some $(B, C)$ and $(b, c)$ in $T_{j}^{\prime}$. If we consider $(B, C)$ and $(b, c)$ as elements of $T_{j}$, then we must have in $G$ : $K_{j} B A C^{-1} K_{j}=K_{j} b A c^{-1} K_{j}$, because these two sets has non-void intersection. So, we know that $\left(b^{-1} B, c^{-1} C\right) \in H_{A, j}$. As $\left(b^{-1} B, c^{-1} C\right)$ is an element of $T_{j}^{\prime}$, we must then have $\left(b^{-1} B, c^{-1} C\right) \in H_{A, j}^{\prime}$. The converse is also true, if $\left(b^{-1} B, c^{-1} C\right) \in$ $H_{A, j}^{\prime}$, then $K_{j}^{\prime} B A C^{-1} K_{j}^{\prime}=K_{j}^{\prime} b A c^{-1} K_{j}^{\prime}$ (it suffices to consider a representant of $\left(b^{-1} B, c^{-1} C\right)$ in $\left.X_{A}\right)$. The proposition is proven.

Choose a Haar mesure on $G^{\prime}$ such that the volum of $K_{0}^{\prime}$ is 1 .
Lemma 3.2. If $A \in G$, then, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{card}\left(K_{j}^{\prime} /\left(A K_{j}^{\prime} A^{-1} \cap K_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{card}\left(K_{j} /\left(A K_{j} A^{-1} \cap K_{j}\right)\right) .
$$

As $G=K_{0} \mathcal{A} K_{0}$ and $K_{0}$ normalizes $K_{j}$ and $K_{j}^{\prime}$, it suffices to prove the lemma for $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Write

$$
K_{j}=\coprod_{i=1}^{l} k_{i}\left(A K_{j} A^{-1} \cap K_{j}\right) .
$$

If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then the diagonal matrix with 1 on the first $n-1$ positions and $\operatorname{det}\left(k_{i}\right)^{-1}$ on the last is always in $A K_{j} A^{-1} \cap K_{j}$, so we may and will assume that $k_{i} \in G^{\prime}$ for all $i$. Then

$$
K_{j}^{\prime}=K_{j} \cap G^{\prime}=\coprod_{i=1}^{l}\left(k_{i}\left(A K_{j} A^{-1} \cap K_{j}\right) \cap G^{\prime}\right)=\coprod_{i=1}^{l}\left(k_{i}\left(A K_{j} A^{-1} \cap K_{j} \cap G^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

because $k_{i} \in G^{\prime}$. But $G^{\prime}$ is a normal sub-group of $G$, so

$$
A K_{j} A^{-1} \cap K_{j} \cap G^{\prime}=\left(A\left(K_{j} \cap G^{\prime}\right) A^{-1}\right) \cap\left(K_{j} \cap G^{\prime}\right)=A K_{j}^{\prime} A^{-1} \cap K_{j}^{\prime}
$$

and we proved that

$$
K_{j}^{\prime}=\coprod_{i=1}^{l} k_{i}\left(A K_{j}^{\prime} A^{-1} \cap K_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

hence the equality for cardinals.

Lemma 3.3. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq \ldots \leq a_{n}$ be the powers of the uniformizer on the diagonal of $A$. Then:

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{j}^{\prime} A K_{j}^{\prime}\right)=q^{d \sum_{1 \leq i<i^{\prime} \leq n} a_{i^{\prime}}-a_{i}} \operatorname{vol}\left(K_{j}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Proof. Using the last lemma, it follows from the proof of lemma 2.10 in [Ba2].

Remark. The volumes of $K_{0}$ and $K_{0}^{\prime}$ are one and $K_{0} / K_{j} \simeq G L_{n}\left(O / I^{d j}\right)$ and $K_{0}^{\prime} / K_{j}^{\prime} \simeq S L_{n}\left(O / I^{d j}\right)$. The determinant is a surjective map $G L_{n}\left(O / I^{d j}\right)$ to $G L_{1}\left(O / I^{d j}\right)$ with kernel $S L_{n}\left(O / I^{d j}\right)$. So we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{j}\right)=\operatorname{card}\left(G L_{1}\left(O / I^{d j}\right)\right) \operatorname{vol}\left(K_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(q^{d}-1\right) q^{d j-d} \operatorname{vol}\left(K_{j}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Proposition 3.4. For every $a \in G$, the automorphism $f_{a}: x \mapsto a x a^{-1}$ of $G^{\prime}$ is measure prezerving.
Proof. Let us show that $\operatorname{vol}\left(a K_{0}^{\prime} a^{-1}\right)=1$. Applying the lemma 3.2 to $a$ and $a^{-1}$ we get:

$$
\operatorname{card}\left(K_{0}^{\prime} / a K_{0}^{\prime} a^{-1} \cap K_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{card}\left(K_{0} / a K_{0} a^{-1} \cap K_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{card}\left(K_{0}^{\prime} / a^{-1} K_{0}^{\prime} a \cap K_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{card}\left(K_{0} / a^{-1} K_{0} a \cap K_{0}\right) .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\operatorname{card}\left(K_{0} / a K_{0} a^{-1} \cap K_{0}\right)=\operatorname{card}\left(K_{0} / a^{-1} K_{0} a \cap K_{0}\right)
$$

because conjugation with $a$ in $G$ is measure preserving with respect to a Haar measure, and

$$
\operatorname{card}\left(K_{0}^{\prime} / a^{-1} K_{0}^{\prime} a \cap K_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{card}\left(a K_{0}^{\prime} a^{-1} / a K_{0}^{\prime} a^{-1} \cap K_{0}^{\prime}\right)
$$

because conjugation with $a$ is an isomorphism between these two groups. The result follows.

If $g \in G^{\prime}$, set $h(g)=\left(\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) 1_{K_{l}^{\prime} g K_{j}^{\prime}}$.
Lemma 3.5. a) If $A, A^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then $h(A) * h\left(A^{\prime}\right)=h\left(A A^{\prime}\right)$.
b) If $(B, C) \in T_{0}^{\prime}$, then $h(B) * h(A) * h(C)=h(B A C)$.

The proof is exactly like for lemma 2.11 in [Ba2].
Let's remark that for every function $f \in H_{j}$, the restriction of $f$ to $G^{\prime}$ belongs to $H_{j}^{\prime}$. This restriction commutes with the inclusions $H_{j} \subset H_{i}$ and $H_{j}^{\prime} \subset H_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i \geq j$. Conversely, every function $f^{\prime} \in H_{j}^{\prime}$ can be lifted in a standard way to a function $f \in H_{j}$, using the natural inclusion of the standard basis of $H_{j}^{\prime}$ into the standard basis of $H_{j}$. But this operation doesn't commute no longer with the inclusions between Hecke algebras.

## 4. $S L_{n}(D)$ AND CLOSE FIELDS

Let's consider again the situation of the two $j$-close fields, $F$ and $L$, and all the other constructions from the section 2. Embody in the situation the groups $G_{F}^{\prime}(=$ $\left.S L_{n}\left(D_{F}\right)\right)$ and $G_{L}^{\prime}\left(=S L_{n}\left(D_{F}\right)\right)$. The bijection $\lambda_{j}: \mathcal{A}_{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{L}$ induces a bijection $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}: \mathcal{A}_{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{L}^{\prime}$, and the isomorphism $\lambda_{j}: T_{j, F} \rightarrow T_{j, L}$ induces an isomorphism $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}: T_{j, F}^{\prime} \rightarrow T_{j, L}^{\prime}$. As a consequence, the isomorphism $\lambda_{j}: H_{j, A, F} \rightarrow H_{j, \lambda_{j}(A), L}$ induces an isomorphism $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}: H_{j, A, F}^{\prime} \rightarrow H_{j, \lambda_{j}(A), L}^{\prime}$. (This last result in the case of $G L_{n}$ (lemma 2.7 in [Ba2]) needed some painfull calculations in the first part of [Ba2], and to avoid recalling all the notation, we chose to get it here by this imbedding of $G^{\prime}$ in $G$ ). We obtain then an isomorphism $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ of vector spaces from $H_{j, F}^{\prime}$ to $H_{j, L}^{\prime}$. We recall that, if $m$ is an integer bigger than $j$, if $F$ and $L$ are $m$-close, then $F$ and $L$ are also $j$-close.

Theorem 4.1. There exist an integer $m \geq j$ such that, if $F$ and $L$ are $m$-close, then the isomorphism $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism of (Hecke) algebras.

Proof. A lemma, first:
Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{A}_{F}^{\prime}$, and set

$$
G_{F}^{\prime}(\mathcal{C})=\cap_{A \in \mathcal{C}} K_{0, F}^{\prime} A K_{0, F}^{\prime} .
$$

Then
a) There exist $m \geq j$ depending on $\mathcal{C}$ such that, for all $g \in G_{F}^{\prime}(\mathcal{C})$, we have $g K_{m, F}^{\prime} g^{-1} \subset K_{j, F}^{\prime}$.
b) If $L$ is $m$-close to $F$, then for all $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H_{j, F}^{\prime}$ supported on $G_{F}^{\prime}(\mathcal{C})$ we have

$$
\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\left(f_{1} * f_{2}\right)=\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\left(f_{1}\right) * \lambda_{j}^{\prime}\left(f_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. This lemma is the analogus for $G^{\prime}=S L_{n}$ of the lemma 2.14, proved in [Ba2] for the groupe $G=G L_{n}$. The point a) here follows obviously "by intersection with $G^{\prime \prime \prime}$ from the point a) there. The point b$)$ is then proven exactely like the point b) of the lemma 2.14 in [Ba2].

Now, for the proof of the theorem, it goes exactely like the proof of the theorem 2.13 in [Ba2].

## 5. Hecke algebras and representations

We forget the close fields for a moment and turn back to notations in section 3. Let $(\pi, V)$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $G^{\prime}$. If $K$ is a subgroup of $G^{\prime}$, let $V^{K}$ be the sub-space of vectors which are fixe under $\pi(k)$ for all $k \in K$. If $K$ is open, $V^{K}$ has finite dimension. The level of $\pi$ is the lowest integer $l$ such
that $V^{K_{l}} \neq 0$. If $f \in H_{j}^{\prime}$, we set

$$
\pi(f)=\int_{G^{\prime}} f(g) \pi(g) d g
$$

The image of $\pi(f)$ is included then in $V^{K_{j}^{\prime}}$. In particular, if $j$ is smaller than $l$, then $\pi(f)=0$. If $j$ is bigger or equal to $l$, then $\pi(f)$ induces an endomorphism of $V^{K_{j}^{\prime}}$. It is also clear that the trace of $\pi(f)$ equals the trace of this endomorphism. The space $V^{K_{j}^{\prime}}$ is a $H_{j}^{\prime}$ module with the external low: $f * v=\pi(f) v$ for all $f \in H_{j}^{\prime}$ and all $v \in V^{K_{j}^{\prime}}$. To any irreducible smooth representation $\pi$ of level smaller than $j$ we associate this way a $H_{j}^{\prime}$ module. This construction is a bijection from the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G^{\prime}$ with level lower or equal to $j$ and the set of isomorhism classes of irreducible non-degenerated $K_{j}^{\prime}$-modules (see $[\mathrm{Be}]$ for example).

## 6. Close fields and representations

Let $F, L$ and $m$ be like in the theorem 4.1; in view of what has been said in the last section, $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ induces a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G_{F}^{\prime}$ with level lower or equal to $j$ and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G_{L}^{\prime}$ with level lower or equal to $j$. As the applications $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i \leq j$ are compatible with the inclusions relations between Hecke algebras, we see that $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ is level prezerving. Also, if $f \in H_{j, F}^{\prime}$ and $\pi$ is an irreducible smooth representation of level lower or equal to $j$ of $G_{F}^{\prime}$, we have obviously $\operatorname{tr} \pi(f)=\operatorname{tr} \lambda_{j}^{\prime}(\pi)\left(\lambda_{j}^{\prime}(f)\right)$.

Proposition 6.1. The application $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ sends cuspidal representations to cuspidal representations, square integrable representations to square integrable representations and tempered representations to tempered representations.

Proof. For cuspidal and square integrable representations, the proof is the same as in theorem 2.17 of [Ba2]. Now, the tempered representations of $G_{F}^{\prime}$ are its irreducible unitary representations $\pi$ such that for all $\epsilon>0$, there exist a non-trivial coefficient of $\pi$ belonging to $L^{2+\epsilon}\left(G_{F}^{\prime}\right)$, and the same for $G_{L}^{\prime}$. The same proof as for square integrable representations shows that $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ sends tempered representations to tempered representations.

Corollary 6.2. If $\pi$ is a square integrable repesentation of $G_{F}^{\prime}$ of level less than or equal to $j$ and $f$ is a pseudo-coefficient of $\pi$, then $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}(f)$ is a pseudo-coefficient of $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}(\pi)$.
Proof. The corollary is an easy consequence of the above proposition. See [Ba1], lemma 4.2 for details (as well as section 2 of [Ba1] for a definition and a survey of pseudocefficients in all characteristics).

## 7. Elliptic orbital integrals

Let $F$ be a local field like in section 1. Here $D=F$. Recall that we fixed Haar meaures $d g$ and $d g^{\prime}$ on $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{0}, d g\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{0}^{\prime}, d g^{\prime}\right)=1$. If $\gamma$ is an element of $G$ and $Z_{G}(\gamma)$ is the stabiliser of $\gamma$ in $G$ we put a Haar measure on $Z_{G}(\gamma)$ such that the volume of the subgroup of its points over $O$ is one. On $G / Z_{G}(\gamma)$ we consider the quotient measure. The same if $\gamma \in G^{\prime}$ and we conider its commutator $Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)=Z_{G}(\gamma) \cap G^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$. The orbital integrals $\Phi(f,$.$) of functions$ $f \in H$ or $f \in H^{\prime}$ at the point $\gamma$ will be calculated with respect to this choices of measures.

Let's fix the following notations: if $A$ is a subset of $F, A^{[n]}$ is the set of all power $n$ of elements of $A$ in $F$. If $A$ is a subset of $G$, then $\operatorname{det}(A)$ is the image in $F$ of $A$ under the determinant map. If $A$ and $B$ are subsets of $G$, then $A B$ is the set of all products $a b$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

From now on we suppose that the characteristics of $F$ is either zero either prime with $n$.

Lemma 7.1. We have $1+I^{2 n} \subset O^{*[n]}$.
Proof. If the characteristics of $F$ is zero, the point a) is an obvious consequence of the exercice 2, page 46 in $[\mathrm{BS}]$ (which is an easy application of their theorem 3, page 42). In our opinion, there is a mistake in the enouncement of the exercice, and one has to replace $2^{\delta}+1$ by $2 \delta+1$, which is stronger and come streight from the standard proof. The $\delta$ in the exercice is the greatest power of $p$ dividing $n$. In particular, we have $\delta<n$, so $2 \delta+1<2 n$, so the exercice implies our statement. The same proof works in non-zero characteristics if $p$ is prime to $n$.

Let $S$ be a set of reprezentatives of $O^{*} / 1+I^{2 n}$ in $O^{*}$. Chose a subset $S^{\prime}$ of $S$ which is a system of reprezentatives of $O^{*} / O^{*[n]}$ (always possible, thanks to the lemma 7.1).

Let $X_{G^{\prime}}$ be the set of diagonal matrices in $G$ with 1 in the first $n-1$ places and an element of $S^{\prime}$ in the last one. Let $X$ be the set of diagonal matrices in $G$ with 1 in the first $n-1$ places and an element of $\left\{1, \pi, \pi^{2}, \ldots, \pi^{n-1}\right\}$ in the last one.
It is clear that $F^{*[n]}=\operatorname{det}(Z), O^{*[n]}=\operatorname{det}(Z(O))$ and $F / F^{*[n]}=\coprod_{i=0}^{n-1} \pi^{i} O^{*} / O^{*[n]}$.
Using the natural inclusion of $G^{\prime}$ in $G$ we realise, for all $x \in G, x\left(G^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}\right)$ as a subset of $G / Z$. It is easy to check that $G(O) / Z(O)=\coprod_{x \in X_{G^{\prime}}} A\left(G^{\prime}(O) / Z^{\prime}(O)\right)$ and $G / Z=\coprod_{A \in X_{G^{\prime}} X} A\left(G^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}\right)$.

Remark. If $j \geq 2 n$, the natural inclusion $K_{j}^{\prime} / Z\left(K_{j}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow K_{j} / Z\left(K_{j}\right)$ is a bijection (where $Z\left(K_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is the center of $K_{j}^{\prime}$ and $Z\left(K_{j}\right)$ is the center of $\left.K_{j}\right)$.

Let $\gamma \in G^{\prime}$. As $Z \subset Z_{G}(\gamma)$, $\operatorname{det}(Z) \subset \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G}(\gamma)\right)$. So we may (and will) choose a subset $S_{\gamma}^{\prime}$ of $S^{\prime}$ which form a system of representatives for $O^{*} / \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)(O)\right)$
in $O^{*}$. We denote $X_{\gamma}$ the corresponding subset of $X_{G^{\prime}}$. The valuation map send the set $\operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)$ into a sub-group $W$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ containing $n \mathbb{Z}$. Consider a system of representatives $J_{\gamma}$ of $\mathbb{Z} / W$ in the set $\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We have

$$
F^{*} / \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)=\coprod_{j \in J_{\gamma}} \pi^{j} O^{*} / \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)(O)\right) .
$$

So, $S_{\gamma}^{\prime} J_{\gamma}$ is a system of representatives of $F^{*} / \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)$ in $F^{*}$. Let $Y_{\gamma}$ be the set of diagonal matrices in $G$ with 1 in the first $n-1$ places and $\pi^{j}$, with $j \in J$, in the last one.

One may show that

$$
G(O) / Z_{G}(\gamma)(O)=\coprod_{x \in X_{\gamma}} x\left(G^{\prime}(O) / Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)(O)\right) .
$$

and

$$
G / Z_{G}(\gamma)=\coprod_{x \in X_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}} x\left(G^{\prime} / Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right) .
$$

Let $x_{\gamma}$ be the cardinal of $X_{\gamma}$. The first relation shows that, with our choice of measures, the measure we put on $G^{\prime} / Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)$ is $x_{\gamma}$ times the restricted measure from $G / Z_{G}(\gamma)$.

One may also verify that, if $\delta$ is conjugated to $\gamma$ in $G$, there exist exactly one element $x \in X_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}$ such that $\delta$ is conjugated to $x \gamma x^{-1}$ in $G^{\prime}$.

Let's look to this construction form another point of view. We say that $U$ is a system adapted to $\gamma$ if for all $\delta$ conjugated to $\gamma$ in $G$, there exist exactly one element $x \in U$ such that $\delta$ is conjugated to $x \gamma x^{-1}$ in $G^{\prime}$. Then we have

$$
G / Z_{G}(\gamma)=\coprod_{x \in U} x\left(G^{\prime} / Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right) .
$$

We just proved that $X_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}$ is a system adapted to $\gamma$. But what is remarquable from our discussion is that knowing just the set $\operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)$, we may construct a system adapted to $\gamma$ and we know $x_{\gamma}$ (which is the quotient of two cardinals: those of $F^{*} / \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)$ and of $\mathbb{Z}$ by its sub-group of valuations of elements in $\operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)$. Our previous construction alows us to construct a particular such system depending only on $O^{*} / 1+I^{2 n}$ and on the first $n$ powers of $\pi$.

Let now $U$ be a system adapted to $\gamma$. We suppose that $U$ contains the identity matrix. If we donote $\mathcal{O}_{G}(\gamma)$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{O}_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)\right)$ the orbit in $G$ (resp. in $G^{\prime}$ ) of $\gamma$, then:

$$
\mathcal{O}_{G}(\gamma)=\coprod_{x \in U} \mathcal{O}_{G^{\prime}}\left(x \gamma x^{-1}\right)
$$

If $d \bar{g}$ (resp. $d \bar{g}^{\prime}$ ) is the measure fixed on $G / Z_{G}(\gamma)$ (resp. $G^{\prime} / Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)$ ), then we have that for every $f \in H(G)$,

$$
\Phi(f, \gamma)=\int_{G / Z_{G}(\gamma)} f\left(g \gamma g^{-1}\right) d \bar{g}=\sum_{x \in U} \int_{G^{\prime} / Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)} f\left(x g \gamma g^{-1} x^{-1}\right) d \bar{g}=
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{x \in U} \int_{G^{\prime} / Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)} f\left(\left(x g x^{-1}\right)\left(x \gamma x^{-1}\right)\left(x g^{-1} x^{-1}\right)\right) d \bar{g}= \\
\sum_{x \in U} \int_{G^{\prime} / Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)} f\left(g\left(x \gamma x^{-1}\right) g^{-1}\right) d \bar{g}
\end{gathered}
$$

the last equality coming from the proposition 3.4. So, if $f^{\prime} \in H^{\prime}$ is the restriction of $f$ to $G^{\prime}$, we obtained, as $d \bar{g}=\frac{1}{x_{\gamma}} d \bar{g}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\Phi(f, \gamma)=\frac{1}{x_{\gamma}} \sum_{x \in U} \Phi\left(f^{\prime}, x \gamma x^{-1}\right)
$$

Suppose now $\gamma$ is regular semi-simple. Let $V_{\gamma}$ be an open and compact neigbourhoud of $\gamma$ in $G^{\prime}$ containing only elements of $G^{\prime}$ which are conjugated under $G^{\prime}$ to a regular element in the torus $Z_{G^{\prime}}(\gamma)$. Such a neighbourhood always exists by the submersion tehorem of Harish-Chandra. Then, for all $t \in V_{\gamma}, Z_{G}(t)$ is conjugated to $Z_{G}(\gamma)$ in $G$, which shows that the system $U$ is adapted to $t$ too and $x_{t}=x_{\gamma}$ and the formula

$$
\Phi(f, t)=\frac{1}{x_{\gamma}} \sum_{x \in U} \Phi\left(f^{\prime}, x t x^{-1}\right)
$$

is true in the whole neighbourhood $V_{\gamma}$.
For each $x \in U$, set $V_{x \gamma x^{-1}}=x V_{\gamma} x^{-1}$ (it is an open and compact neigbourhood of $x \gamma x^{-1}$ in $\left.G^{\prime}\right)$. If $A \subset G$ let $A d_{G^{\prime}}(A)$ stand for the set of all conjugates of elements in $A$ by elements of $G^{\prime}$. The sets $A d_{G^{\prime}}\left(V_{x \gamma x^{-1}}\right), x \in U$ are disjoint (because for every $g \in A d_{G^{\prime}}\left(V_{x \gamma x^{-1}}\right), Z_{G}(g)$ is conjugated under $G^{\prime}$ with $x Z_{G}(\gamma) x^{-1}$ and never with $\left.Z_{G}(\gamma)\right)$. They are all open and close also. The fact that they are open is obvious (union of open sets). Then the fact that they are close would be a consequence of their union being closed. But their union is $A d_{G}\left(V_{\gamma}\right)$, and this is closed: if $P$ is the (continuous!) map characteristic polynomial from $G$ to $F^{n}$, then $P\left(V_{\gamma}\right)$ is compact beacuse $V_{\gamma}$ is, hence the reciprocal image $P^{-1}\left(P\left(V_{\gamma}\right)\right)=A d_{G}\left(V_{\gamma}\right)$ is closed.

Let now $f^{\prime} \in H^{\prime}$. We may write $f^{\prime}=f_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{x \in U} f_{x}^{\prime}$, where the support of $f_{0}^{\prime}$ does not intersect any $A d_{G^{\prime}}\left(V_{x \gamma x^{-1}}\right)$, and the support of each $f_{x}^{\prime}$ is included in $A d_{G^{\prime}}\left(V_{x \gamma x^{-1}}\right)$. The orbital integral of $f_{0}^{\prime}$ vanish on all $x V_{\gamma} x^{-1}$. The orbital integral of $f_{x_{0}}^{\prime}$ vanish on all $x V_{\gamma} x^{-1}$ with $x \in U \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. If $f_{1}^{\prime} \in H_{j}^{\prime}$, we just lift it to a function $f_{1} \in H_{j}$ and we get by the formula about orbital integrals:

$$
\Phi\left(f^{\prime}, t\right)=x_{\gamma} \Phi\left(f_{1}, t\right)
$$

for all $t \in V_{\gamma}$. In particular, if $\Phi\left(f_{1},.\right)$ is constant in a neighbourhood $V$ of $\gamma$, then $\Phi\left(f^{\prime},.\right)$ is constant on $V_{\gamma} \cap V$.

## 8. Orbital integral and local fields

We'll deal again with two different fields $F$ and $L$, and the subscript $F$ or $L$ will indicate the one which the object is attached to. The field $F$ is fixed. If $\gamma$ is an elliptic element of $G_{F}^{\prime}$, then we fix $X_{\gamma}$ like in the previous section, and, if $L$ is a field $m$ close to $F$, with $m \geq 2 n$, we define $\lambda_{m}\left(X_{\gamma}\right)$ in the following way: we take the image of $X_{\gamma}$ in $O_{F}^{*} / 1+I_{F}^{m}=\left(O_{F} / I_{F}^{m}\right)^{*}$ defined by its last coefficient on the diagonal; then we take the image of this set under the ring isomorphisme $\lambda_{m}: O_{F} / I_{F}^{m} \rightarrow O_{L} / I_{L}^{m}$; we then consider a system $S_{L}$ of representatns of this set in $O_{L}^{*}$ and finaly we let $\lambda_{m}\left(X_{\gamma}\right)$ be the set of diagonal matrices in $G_{L}$ with 1 in the first $n-1$ places of the diagonal and an element of $S_{L}$ in the last. The set $Y_{\gamma}$ is defined only in terms of powers of the uniformizer $\pi_{F}$ of $F$, so there is a canonical way of defining the corresponding set $\lambda_{m}\left(Y_{\gamma}\right)$ using the uniformizer $\pi_{L}$ of $L$. It is also clear how we define $\lambda_{m}(x)$ for each $x$ in $X_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}$. Actaully, $X_{\gamma} \subset K_{0, F}$, and $Y_{\gamma} \subset \mathcal{A}_{F}$, so every $x \in X_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}$ is an element of type $B A C^{-1}$ (with $C=1$ ) like those used in the standard decomposition of $G_{F}$. Hence, for all $m \geq 2 n$, if $L$ is $m$-close to $F$ we automaticly have $\lambda_{m}(x) \in \lambda_{m}\left(K_{m} x K_{m}\right)$, so for this particular system we defined a pointwise lifting always compatible with the general lifting of open compact sets.

Theorem 8.1. (Lemaire) Let $\gamma$ be an elliptic element of $G_{F}$. Let $j$ be a positive integer. Then there exist $l$ and $m$ such that:
a) for every $f \in H_{j, F}, \Phi(f,$.$) is constant on K_{l, F} \gamma K_{l, F}$, equal to $\Phi(f, \gamma)$,
b) $m$ is bigger than $j$ and $l$ and for every field $L$ which is $m$ close to $F$, for every $f \in H_{j, F}, \Phi\left(\lambda_{j}(f),.\right)$ is constant on $\lambda_{l}\left(K_{l, F} \gamma K_{l, F}\right)$, equal to $\Phi(f, \gamma)$,

Proof. [Le1], page 1054.
Lemma 8.2. Let $\gamma \in G_{F}$ be an elliptic element and let $j$ be a positive integer. There exist $l$ et $m$ such that
a) For all $\gamma^{\prime} \in K_{l, F} \gamma K_{l, F}, K_{j, F} Z_{G}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) K_{j, F}=K_{j, F} Z_{G}(\gamma) K_{j, F}$
b) If $L$ and $F$ are $m$ close, then for all $\left.\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \lambda_{l}\left(K_{l, F} \gamma K_{l, F}\right), K_{j, L} Z_{G(L)}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) K_{j, L}\right)=$ $K_{j, L} Z_{G(L)}(\gamma) K_{j, L}=\lambda_{l}\left(K_{j, F} Z_{G_{F}}(\gamma) K_{j, F}\right)$.

Proof. It is the proof of (i), page 1043, [Le1].
Let $\gamma \in G_{F}^{\prime}$ be an elliptic element. Apply the last lemma for a $j \geq 2 n$. Then
Proposition 8.3. a) For all $\gamma^{\prime} \in K_{l, F}^{\prime} \gamma K_{l, F}^{\prime}$, the system $X_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}$ is adapted to $\gamma^{\prime}$ and $x_{\gamma^{\prime}}=x_{\gamma}$.
b) If $L$ and $F$ are $m$ close, then for all $\gamma^{\prime} \in \lambda_{l}\left(K_{l, F}^{\prime} \gamma K_{l, F}^{\prime}\right)$, the system $\lambda_{l}\left(X_{\gamma}\right) \lambda_{l}\left(Y_{\gamma}\right)$ is adapted to $\gamma^{\prime}$ and $x_{\gamma^{\prime}}=x_{\gamma}$.

Proof. a) We have seen that $1+I_{F}^{2 n} \subset \operatorname{det}\left(K_{2 n}\right) \subset \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G_{F}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\left(O_{F}\right)\right)$ and $1+I_{F}^{2 n} \subset \operatorname{det}\left(K_{2 n}\right) \subset \operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G_{F}}(\gamma)\left(O_{F}\right)\right)$. So

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G_{F}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\left(O_{F}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(K_{j, F} Z_{G_{F}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\left(O_{F}\right) K_{j, F}\right)= \\
\operatorname{det}\left(K_{j, F} Z_{G_{F}}(\gamma)\left(O_{F}\right) K_{j, F}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(Z_{G_{F}}(\gamma)(O)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

b) Using the point b ) of the previous lemma, we get

$$
K_{j, L} Z_{G_{L}}(\gamma) K_{j, L}=\lambda_{l}\left(K_{j, F} Z_{G_{F}}(\gamma) K_{j, F}\right) .
$$

Now, if $V$ is a $K_{j, F}$ bi-invariant set, then $\operatorname{det}(V)$ is invariant by $1+I_{F}^{j}$, and the image of $\operatorname{det}(V)$ in $O_{F}^{*} / 1+I_{F}^{j}$ correspond to the image of $\operatorname{det} \lambda_{j}(V)$ in $O_{L}^{*} / 1+I_{L}^{j}$ under the isomorphism $\lambda_{j}: O_{F} / I_{F}^{j} \rightarrow O_{L} / I_{L}^{j}$ (it suffices to verify this on basic type sets $K_{j} B A C^{-1} K_{j}$, and this is obvious).

Let $\gamma$ be an elliptic element of $G_{F}^{\prime}$.
Theorem 8.4. Let $f^{\prime} \in H$. There exists $p$ and $m$ such that
a) $\Phi\left(f^{\prime},.\right)$ is constant on $K_{p}^{\prime} \gamma K_{p}^{\prime}$, equal to $\Phi\left(f^{\prime}, \gamma\right)$ and
b) for every field $L$ m-close to $F, \Phi\left(\lambda_{m}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right.$, .) is constant on $\lambda_{m}\left(K_{p}^{\prime} \gamma K_{p}^{\prime}\right)$, equal to $\Phi\left(f^{\prime}, \gamma\right)$.

Proof. We start with a lemma studying the behaviour of the lifting under conjugation. It imply for exemple that if two open compact sets are obtained one from another by conjugation with an element, the same is true for thier lifting to a field close enough.

Lemma 8.5. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be open compact subsets of $G_{F}$ and $g \in G_{F}$ such that $g H_{1} g^{-1} \subset H_{2}$. If $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are bi-invariant under some $K_{j, F}$, then $K_{j, F} g K_{j, F} H_{1} K_{j, F} g^{-1} K_{j, F} \subset H_{2}$. Moreover, there exist $m>$ $j$ such that, if $L$ is $m$-close to $F$, then $\lambda_{m}\left(K_{j, F} g K_{j, F}\right) \lambda_{m}\left(H_{1}\right) \lambda_{m}\left(K_{j, F} g^{-1} K_{j, F}\right) \subset$ $\lambda_{m}\left(H_{2}\right)$.

Proof. As $g H_{1} g^{-1} \subset H_{2}$ and $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are bi-invariant under $K_{j, F}$, we obviously have $K_{j, F} g K_{j, F} H_{1} K_{j, F} g^{-1} K_{j, F} \subset H_{2}$. For the second assertion, it suffices to show that $\lambda_{m}\left(K_{j, F} x K_{j, F} y K_{j, F}\right)=\lambda_{m}\left(K_{j, F} x K_{j, F}\right) \lambda_{m}\left(K_{j, F} y K_{j, F}\right)$ for all $x, y \in G_{F}$. But $K_{j, F} x K_{j, F} y K_{j, F}$ is the support of the function obtained by the convolution product of characteristic functions $1_{K_{j, F} x K_{j, F}}$ and $1_{K_{j, F} y K_{j, F}}$. So, when $m$ is big enough such that the linear isomorphism between $H_{j, F}$ and $H_{j, L}$ is an algebra isomorphism (theorem 4.1), we also have our relation.

The proof is now clear: thaks to the proposition 8.3 and the lemme 8.5, if $L$ is $m$-close to $F, m$ big enough, then the construction for $L$ at the end of the last section is paralel to that for $F$ (just pick a $\gamma_{L}$ in $\lambda_{m}\left(V_{\gamma}\right)$ and use the lemma 8.5 to show (for $m$ big enough) that, for all $\left.x \in X_{\gamma} Y_{\gamma}, \lambda_{m}\left(V_{x \gamma x^{-1}}\right)=V_{\lambda_{m}(x) \gamma_{L} \lambda_{m}(x)^{-1}}\right)$.

To conclude for the point b) of our theorem, just use the point b) of the thorem of lemaire 8.1.

## 9. The orthogonality relations for characters

If ${ }^{-}$denote the complex conjugation, we have the following:
Theorem 9.1. Let $F$ be a local field of non-zero characteristic $p$. Let $n$ be a positive integer such that $p$ doesn't divide $n$. Then, if $\pi$ is a square integrable representation of $G_{F}^{\prime}=S L_{n}(F)$, if $f_{\pi}^{\prime}$ is a pseudocoefficient of $\pi$, if $g$ is a regular elliptic element of $G_{F}^{\prime}$, then

$$
\chi_{\pi}(g)=\overline{\Phi\left(f_{\pi}^{\prime}, g\right)} .
$$

Proof. The proof is then the same as for the theorem 4.3 in [Ba1].
Corollary 9.2. The orthogonality relations for characters hold on $G_{F}^{\prime}$.
Proof. The proof is the same as for the theorem 4.4. in [Ba1], as Lemaire showed the local integrability of characters on $S L_{n}$ in non-zero characteristics ([Le2]).

## 10. Removing the condition $p \nmid n$

What happen if $F$ is of non zero characteristics $p$, and $p$ divides $n$ ? First of all, the theorem 8.1 is absolutly independent of that. Otherwise, the decomposition of $G / Z$ as cosets of $G^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}$ is no longer finite, because $F^{*[n]}$ does no longer contain an open neighbourhood of 1 . But, if a field $E$ is an extension of $F$, then the norm map from $E^{*}$ to $F^{*}$ contain an open neighbourhood of 1 , say $1+I^{p_{E^{*}}}$ ([We], proposition 5, page 143). So, if $\gamma$ is an elliptic element of $G_{F}^{\prime}$, then we may still consider a system of reprezentatives of $O^{*} / 1+I^{p_{Z_{F}}(\gamma)}$ in $O^{*}$, and it will be a finite set. The diagonal matrix with 1 on the firs $n-1$ positions and an element of this system of representatives on the last will be our $X_{\gamma}$, adapted to $\gamma$. All the other fields involved when applying the close fields theory to $G_{F}$ and $G_{F}^{\prime}$ will be of zero characteristics, so, for them, the valuation of the entries of the matrix in $X_{\delta}$ will be uniformly bounded by $2 n$, like before, idependently of the field or of the element $\delta$. So, the place of $2 n$ as a bound for valuation has just to be replaced by $\max \left(2 n, p_{Z_{G_{F}}(\gamma)}\right)$. All the proofs go then the same. Let's remark that the proposition 8.3 b ) imply in the end that, even in this case of bad characteristics, we have $x_{\gamma} \leq 2 q n^{2}$, where $q$ is the cardinal of the residual field.

## 11. Removing the condition $D=F$

Let $d^{2}$ be the dimension of $D$ over $F$. If $\gamma$ is a regular semi-simple element of $G L_{n}(D)$, if $\delta$ is an element of $G L_{d n}(F)$, we say that $\delta$ corresponds to $\gamma$ if the caracteristic polynomial of $\delta$ is equal to that of $\gamma$. Such $\delta$ always exist and are regular semi-simple. If $\gamma$ is elliptic, then such $\delta$ are always elliptic. If
$f \in H\left(G L_{n}\left(D_{F}\right)\right)$ with compact support, one may function $e \in H\left(G L_{n d}(F)\right)$ such that the orbital integral of $f$ in any regular semi-simple element $\gamma$ is equal to the orbital integral of $e$ in any element of $G L_{n d}(F)$ corresponding to $\gamma$, and such that the orbital integral of $e$ vanishes in every regular semi-simple element of $G L_{n d}(F)$ which doesn't correspond to any regular semi-simple element of $G L_{n}(D)$. This result is proven in [DKV] for $F$ of characteristics zero and in [Ba3] for $F$ of non-zero characteristics. We will call it orbital integrals transfer over $F$.

Now, if $\gamma \in G L_{n}(D)$ is elliptic and $\delta \in G L_{n d}(F)$ corresponds to $\gamma$, then $Z_{G L_{n}(D)}(\gamma)$ is canonicaly isomorphic to $Z_{G L_{n d}(F)}(\delta)$. This isomorhism commutes with the determinant map, so $S_{\gamma}^{\prime}=S_{\delta}^{\prime}$, and the theory of the set $X_{\gamma}$ and adapted systems is exactly the same as before. In particular, as $S_{\gamma}^{\prime}=S_{\delta}^{\prime}$, and any adapted system to $\delta$ is also an adapted system for $\gamma$. Let's prove an analogus of the theorem 8.1 for $G L_{n}(D)$. This version of Lemaire's thorem that we prove below is weaker, but we need Lemaire's result only for any fixed function, as we used it only for a finite number of functions in the proof of our main theorem.

Theorem 11.1. Let $\gamma$ be an elliptic element of $G=G L_{n}\left(D_{F}\right)$. Let $f \in H\left(G L_{r}\left(D_{F}\right)\right)$. Suppose that the support of $f$ is included in the regular elliptic set. Then there exist $l$ and $m$ such that:
a) $\Phi(f,$.$) is constant on K_{l, F} \gamma K_{l, F}$, equal to $\Phi(f, \gamma)$,
b) $m$ is bigger than $l$ and for every field $L$ which is $m$ close to $F$, $\Phi\left(\lambda_{j}(f),.\right)$ is constant on $\lambda_{l}\left(K_{l, F} \gamma K_{l, F}\right)$, equal to $\Phi(f, \gamma)$.
Proof. As $f$ is fixed, the real problem is b$)$. We get it by transfering integral orbitals to $G L_{d n}(F)$, an using the theorem 8.1. So we will deal with four groups: $G L_{n}\left(D_{F}\right), G L_{n d}(F), G L_{n}\left(D_{L}\right)$ and $G L_{n d}(L)$, where $L$ is a local field of zero characteristics $m$-close to $F$ for some $m$. Let $M \in G L_{n d}(F)$ be the companion matrix of the caracteristic polynomial of $\gamma$. Then $M$ corresponds to $\gamma$. We prove the

Lemma 11.2. Let $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ be neighbourhoods of $\gamma$ and $M$ respectively. Then there exist open compact neighbourhoods $V_{1}$ of $\gamma$ and $V_{2}$ of $M$ and an integer $m$ such that,
i) $V_{1} \subset U_{1}$ and $V_{2} \subset U_{2}$.
ii) for all field $L$ m-proche to $F, \lambda_{m}\left(V_{1}\right)\left(\subset G L_{n}\left(D_{L}\right)\right)$ and $\lambda_{m}\left(V_{2}\right)$ $\left(\subset G L_{n d}(L)\right)$ are well defined and for all $g \in \lambda_{m}\left(V_{2}\right)$ there exists $h \in$ $\lambda_{m}\left(V_{1}\right)$ corresponding to $g$.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of the propositions 4.5 and 4.10 in [Ba2]. The reader may verify it by formal logic, without knowing what "polynômes proches" means.

Now, in [Ba3], we proved that, if $m$ is big enough, then the orbital integrals transfer over $F$ and over $L$ commute with the map $\lambda_{m}$ for functions. So our proposition follows from the last lemma and the theorem 8.1 applied after transering $f$.

The analogus of the proposition 8.3 in $D \neq F$ case is also true. If the $V_{2}$ of the lemma 11.2 is included in the $K_{l, F} \gamma K_{l, F}$ of the proposition 8.3, if we apply the proposition and the lemma we find that the proposition is true for $G L_{n}(D)$. One has just to replace the neighbourhood $K_{l, F} \gamma K_{l, F}$ of $\gamma$ with the $V_{1}$ of the lemma.
Last but not least is the fact that the characters of irreducible smooth representations of $S L_{n}(D)$ are locally integrable in non zero characteristics. This result may be find in Lemaire. The proof of the orthogonality relations for $S L_{n}(D)$ is now exactly the same as the proof for $G L_{n}(F)$.
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