Volumetric expressions of the shape gradient of the compliance in structural shape optimization

Matteo Giacomini

CMAP - Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées, Ecole Polytechnique DeFI team - Détermination de Formes et Identification, INRIA Saclav IPSA - Institut Polytechnique des Sciences Avancées

October 6, 2016 - IHP quarter on Numerical Methods for PDEs Advanced Numerical Methods: Recent Developments, Analysis, and Applications

Joint work with **O. Pantz** (LJAD Université Nice-Sophia Antipolis) and **K. Trabelsi** (IPSA)



Outline

The linear elasticity equation

- A problem in structural shape optimization
- Surface and volumetric expressions of the shape gradient
- The Boundary Variation Algorithm

Ø Minimization of the compliance under a volume constraint

- Shape gradient using the pure displacement formulation
- Shape gradient using a dual mixed variational formulation
- A preliminary experimental comparison

Outline

The linear elasticity equation

- A problem in structural shape optimization
- Surface and volumetric expressions of the shape gradient
- The Boundary Variation Algorithm

2 Minimization of the compliance under a volume constraint

- Shape gradient using the pure displacement formulation
- Shape gradient using a dual mixed variational formulation
- A preliminary experimental comparison

The linear elasticity equation



Hooke's law for a linear elastic material: $Ae(u_{\Omega}) = 2\mu e(u_{\Omega}) + \lambda \operatorname{tr}(e(u_{\Omega})) \operatorname{Id}$ Compliance:

$$J(\Omega) \coloneqq j(\Omega, \sigma_{\Omega}) = \int_{\Omega} A^{-1} \sigma_{\Omega} : \sigma_{\Omega} \, dx$$

A problem in structural shape optimization

Minimization of the compliance under a volume constraint: $\min_{\Omega \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(\Omega)$

 $\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{ \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d : \sigma_{\Omega} \text{ is the stress tensor fulfilling the linear elasticity equation} \\ \text{ on } \Omega \text{ and } V(\Omega) = |\Omega| \text{ is fixed} \}.$

Gradient-based shape optimization:

A problem in structural shape optimization

Minimization of the compliance under a volume constraint: $\min_{\Omega \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(\Omega)$

 $\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{ \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d : \sigma_{\Omega} \text{ is the stress tensor fulfilling the linear elasticity equation} \\ \text{ on } \Omega \text{ and } V(\Omega) = |\Omega| \text{ is fixed} \}.$

Gradient-based shape optimization:

Given the domain Ω_0 , set j = 0 and iterate: 1. Compute the solution of the state equation; 2. Compute a descent direction θ_j and an admissible step μ_j ; 3. Update the domain $\Omega_{j+1} = (\operatorname{Id} + \mu_j \theta_j) \Omega_j$; 4. Until the stopping criterion is not fulfilled, j = j + 1 and repeat.

A problem in structural shape optimization

Minimization of the compliance under a volume constraint: $\min_{\Omega \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(\Omega)$

 $\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{ \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d : \sigma_{\Omega} \text{ is the stress tensor fulfilling the linear elasticity equation} \\ \text{ on } \Omega \text{ and } V(\Omega) = |\Omega| \text{ is fixed} \}.$

Gradient-based shape optimization:

Given the domain Ω_0 , set j = 0 and iterate: 1. Compute the solution of the state equation; 2. Compute a descent direction θ_j and an admissible step μ_j ; 3. Update the domain $\Omega_{j+1} = (\mathrm{Id} + \mu_j \theta_j) \Omega_j$; 4. Until the stopping criterion is not fulfilled, j = j + 1 and repeat.

Classical optimization

Shape optimization

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}f(x) \quad , \quad f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$$

Gradient-based descent direction in x: v s.t. $(\nabla f(x), v) < 0$

$$x_{j+1} = x_j + \mu_j \ v_j$$

 $\min_{\Omega\in\mathcal{U}_{ad}}J(\Omega)$

Gradient-based descent direction at Ω : θ s.t. $\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle < 0$

$$\Omega_{j+1} = (\mathsf{Id} + \mu_j \theta_j) \Omega_j$$

Shape gradient

Let $\theta \in X$ be an admissible smooth deformation of Ω . The objective functional J is said to be X-differentiable at $\Omega \in U_{ad}$ if there exists a continuous linear form $dJ(\Omega)$ on X such that $\forall \theta \in X$ we have:

 $J((\mathsf{Id} + \theta)\Omega) = J(\Omega) + \langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle + o(\theta)$

Shape gradient

Let $\theta \in X$ be an admissible smooth deformation of Ω . The objective functional J is said to be X-differentiable at $\Omega \in U_{ad}$ if there exists a continuous linear form $dJ(\Omega)$ on X such that $\forall \theta \in X$ we have:

$$J((\mathsf{Id} + \theta)\Omega) = J(\Omega) + \langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle + o(\theta)$$



Volumetric expression

$$\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta
angle = \int_{\Omega} h_2(u_{\Omega}, \sigma_{\Omega}, \cdot, \theta) \, dx$$

Computing a descent direction

Descent direction: θ such that $\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle < 0$

Surface expression of the shape gradient:

$$\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle = \int_{\partial \Omega} h_1(u_{\Omega}, \sigma_{\Omega}, \cdot) \theta \cdot n \, ds$$

Volumetric expression of the shape gradient:

$$\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle = \int_{\Omega} h_2(u_{\Omega}, \sigma_{\Omega}, \cdot, \theta) \, dx$$

The Boundary Variation Algorithm

Given the domain Ω_0 , set tol > 0, j = 0 and iterate: 1. Compute the solution of the state equation; 2. Compute the solution of the adjoint equation; 3. Compute a descent direction $\theta_j \in X$; 4. Identify an admissible step μ_j ; 5. Update the domain $\Omega_{j+1} = (\mathrm{Id} + \mu_j \theta_j)\Omega_j$; 6. While $\langle dJ(\Omega_j), \theta_j \rangle >$ tol, j = j + 1 and repeat.

Computing a descent direction

Descent direction: θ such that $\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle < 0$

Surface expression of the shape gradient:

$$\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle = \int_{\partial \Omega} h_1(u_{\Omega}, \sigma_{\Omega}, \cdot) \theta \cdot n \, ds \implies \theta = -h_1(u_{\Omega}, \sigma_{\Omega}, \cdot) n \text{ on } \partial \Omega$$

Volumetric expression of the shape gradient:

$$\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle = \int_{\Omega} h_2(u_{\Omega}, \sigma_{\Omega}, \cdot, \theta) \ dx \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad \theta = ?$$

The Boundary Variation Algorithm

Given the domain Ω_0 , set tol > 0, j = 0 and iterate: 1. Compute the solution of the state equation; 2. Compute the solution of the adjoint equation; 3. Compute a descent direction $\theta_j \in X$; 4. Identify an admissible step μ_j ; 5. Update the domain $\Omega_{j+1} = (\mathrm{Id} + \mu_j \theta_j)\Omega_j$; 6. While $\langle dJ(\Omega_j), \theta_j \rangle >$ tol, j = j + 1 and repeat.

Computing a descent direction

Descent direction: θ such that $\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle < 0$

Surface expression of the shape gradient:

$$\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} h_1(u_{\Omega}, \sigma_{\Omega}, \cdot) \theta \cdot n \, ds \implies \theta = -h_1(u_{\Omega}, \sigma_{\Omega}, \cdot) n \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

Volumetric expression of the shape gradient:

$$\langle dJ(\Omega), \theta \rangle = \int_{\Omega} h_2(u_{\Omega}, \sigma_{\Omega}, \cdot, \theta) \ dx \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad \theta = ?$$

The Boundary Variation Algorithm

Given the domain Ω_0 , set tol > 0, j = 0 and iterate: 1. Compute the solution of the state equation; 2. Compute the solution of the adjoint equation; 3. Compute a descent direction $\theta_j \in X$ s.t. $(\theta_j, \delta\theta)_X + \langle dJ(\Omega_j), \delta\theta \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \delta\theta \in X$; 4. Identify an admissible step μ_j ; 5. Update the domain $\Omega_{j+1} = (\mathrm{Id} + \mu_j \theta_j)\Omega_j$; 6. While $\langle dJ(\Omega_j), \theta_j \rangle > \mathrm{tol}$, j = j + 1 and repeat.

Outline

The linear elasticity equation

- A problem in structural shape optimization
- Surface and volumetric expressions of the shape gradient
- The Boundary Variation Algorithm

2 Minimization of the compliance under a volume constraint

- Shape gradient using the pure displacement formulation
- Shape gradient using a dual mixed variational formulation
- A preliminary experimental comparison

Minimization of the compliance under a volume constraint

We introduce a transformation $X_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and we define the open subset $\Omega_{\theta} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ as $\Omega_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Omega)$ where $\Gamma^N_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Gamma^N)$, $\Gamma_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Gamma)$ and $\Gamma^D_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Gamma^D)$.

Perturbation of the identity map: $X_{\theta} = \mathsf{Id} + \theta + o(\theta)$, $\theta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Jacobian of X_{θ} : $D_{\theta} = \mathsf{Id} + \nabla \theta + o(\nabla \theta)$, $I_{\theta} := \det D_{\theta}$

Under the assumption of a small perturbation θ , X_{θ} is a diffeomorphism and belongs to the space

$$\mathcal{X}\coloneqq \left\{X_\theta\ :\ (X_\theta-\mathsf{Id})\in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } (X_\theta^{-1}-\mathsf{Id})\in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)\right\}.$$

Minimization of the compliance under a volume constraint: $\min_{\Omega_{\theta} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J(\Omega_{\theta})$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{ad} &= \{\Omega_{\theta} \ : \exists X_{\theta} \in \mathcal{X} \ , \ \Omega_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Omega) \ , \ \sigma_{\Omega_{\theta}} \text{ is the stress tensor fulfilling the linear} \\ & \text{elasticity equation on } \Omega_{\theta} \text{ and } V(\Omega_{\theta}) = |\Omega| \} \\ & \Downarrow \end{aligned}$

$$\min_{\Omega_{\theta} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} L(\Omega_{\theta}) \quad , \quad L(\Omega_{\theta}) = J(\Omega_{\theta}) + \gamma V(\Omega_{\theta})$$

Minimization of the compliance under a volume constraint

We introduce a transformation $X_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and we define the open subset $\Omega_{\theta} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ as $\Omega_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Omega)$ where $\Gamma^N_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Gamma^N)$, $\Gamma_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Gamma)$ and $\Gamma^D_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Gamma^D)$.

Perturbation of the identity map:
$$X_{\theta} = \mathsf{Id} + \theta + o(\theta)$$
, $\theta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$.
Jacobian of X_{θ} : $D_{\theta} = \mathsf{Id} + \nabla \theta + o(\nabla \theta)$, $I_{\theta} := \det D_{\theta}$

Under the assumption of a small perturbation The volume of Ω_{θ} is a purely geometrical quantity and does not depend belongs to the space on the solution of the state problem. $\mathcal{X}\coloneqq ig\{X_ heta~:~(X_ heta-\mathsf{Id})\in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$ $V(\Omega_{\theta}) = \int_{\Omega_{\theta}} dx_{\theta} = \int_{\Omega} I_{\theta} dx \eqqcolon \upsilon(\theta)$ det(Id + C) = 1 + tr(C) + o(C)Minimization of the compliance under a volu $\langle dV(\Omega), \theta \rangle = \lim_{\theta > 0} \frac{V(\Omega_{\theta}) - V(\Omega)}{\theta}$ $\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{\Omega_{\theta} : \exists X_{\theta} \in \mathcal{X} , \Omega_{\theta} = X_{\theta}(\Omega) , \sigma_{\Omega_{\theta}} \}$ $=\lim_{\theta > 0} \frac{v(\theta) - v(0)}{\theta} = v'(0)$ elasticity equation on Ω_{θ} and $\min_{\Omega_{\theta} \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} L(\Omega_{\theta}) \quad , \quad L(\Omega_{\theta})$ $\langle dV(\Omega), \theta \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \theta \ dx = \int_{\Omega} \theta \cdot n \ ds$

The pure displacement formulation

Data: $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ Functional space for the variational formulation:

$$\mathcal{V}_\Omega \coloneqq H^1_{0,\Gamma^D}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d) \; : \; v = 0 \; ext{on} \; \Gamma^D \}$$

State problem

roblem: We seek
$$u_{\Omega} \in V_{\Omega}$$
 s.t. $a_{\Omega}(u_{\Omega}, \delta u) = F_{\Omega}(\delta u) \quad \forall \delta u \in V_{\Omega}$

$$a_{\Omega}(u_{\Omega}, \delta u) \coloneqq \int_{\Omega} Ae(u_{\Omega}) : e(\delta u) \ dx \quad , \quad F_{\Omega}(\delta u) \coloneqq \int_{\Omega} f \cdot \delta u \ dx + \int_{\Gamma^{N}} g \cdot \delta u \ ds.$$

The pure displacement formulation

Data: $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ Functional space for the variational formulation:

$$\mathscr{V}_\Omega\coloneqq H^1_{0,\Gamma^D}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)=\{v\in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)\ :\ v=0 ext{ on }\Gamma^D\}$$

State problem: We seek $u_{\Omega} \in V_{\Omega}$ s.t. $a_{\Omega}(u_{\Omega}, \delta u) = F_{\Omega}(\delta u) \quad \forall \delta u \in V_{\Omega}$

$$a_{\Omega}(u_{\Omega}, \delta u) \coloneqq \int_{\Omega} Ae(u_{\Omega}) : e(\delta u) \ dx \quad , \quad F_{\Omega}(\delta u) \coloneqq \int_{\Omega} f \cdot \delta u \ dx + \int_{\Gamma^{N}} g \cdot \delta u \ ds.$$

Compliance:

$$J_{1}(\Omega_{\theta}) := -\min_{u_{\Omega_{\theta}} \in V_{\Omega_{\theta}}} \int_{\Omega_{\theta}} Ae(u_{\Omega_{\theta}}) : e(u_{\Omega_{\theta}}) dx_{\theta} - 2 \int_{\Omega_{\theta}} f \cdot u_{\Omega_{\theta}} dx_{\theta} - 2 \int_{\Gamma_{\theta}^{N}} g \cdot u_{\Omega_{\theta}} ds_{\theta} =: j_{1}(\theta)$$

Shape gradient of the compliance:

$$\langle dJ_1(\Omega), \theta \rangle := \lim_{\theta \searrow 0} \frac{J_1(\Omega_\theta) - J_1(\Omega)}{\theta} = \lim_{\theta \searrow 0} \frac{j_1(\theta) - j_1(0)}{\theta} =: j_1'(0)$$

M. Giacomini

Shape gradient using the pure displacement formulation Transformation: $\mathcal{P}_{\theta} : H^{1}_{0,\Gamma^{D}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d}) \to H^{1}_{0,\Gamma^{D}_{\alpha}}(\Omega_{\theta}; \mathbb{R}^{d})$, $v_{\Omega_{\theta}} = \mathcal{P}_{\theta}(v_{\Omega}) = v_{\Omega} \circ X^{-1}_{\theta}$

Lemma

Let
$$u_{\Omega} \in H^1_{0,\Gamma^D}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$$
. We consider $u_{\Omega_{\theta}} = \mathcal{P}_{\theta}(u_{\Omega})$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{x_{\theta}}u_{\Omega_{\theta}}+\nabla_{x_{\theta}}u_{\Omega_{\theta}}^{T}\right) \eqqcolon e_{x_{\theta}}(u_{\Omega_{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{x}u_{\Omega}D_{\theta}^{-1}+D_{\theta}^{-T}\nabla_{x}u_{\Omega}^{T}\right)$$

where $\nabla_{x_{\theta}}$ (respectively ∇_{x}) represents the gradient with respect to the coordinate of the deformed (respectively reference) domain.

Compliance:

$$j_{1}(\theta) = -\min_{u_{\Omega} \in V_{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u_{\Omega} D_{\theta}^{-1} + D_{\theta}^{-T} \nabla u_{\Omega}^{T}\right)\right) : \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u_{\Omega} D_{\theta}^{-1} + D_{\theta}^{-T} \nabla u_{\Omega}^{T}\right)\right) I_{\theta} dx$$
$$-2 \int_{\Omega} f \circ X_{\theta} \cdot u_{\Omega} I_{\theta} dx - 2 \int_{\Gamma^{N}} g \circ X_{\theta} \cdot u_{\Omega} \operatorname{Cof} D_{\theta} ds.$$

Shape gradient using the pure displacement formulation Transformation: $\mathcal{P}_{\theta}: H^{1}_{0,\Gamma^{D}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d}) \to H^{1}_{0,\Gamma^{D}_{\alpha}}(\Omega_{\theta}; \mathbb{R}^{d})$, $v_{\Omega_{\theta}} = \mathcal{P}_{\theta}(v_{\Omega}) = v_{\Omega} \circ X^{-1}_{\theta}$

Lemma

Let
$$u_{\Omega} \in H^{1}_{0,\Gamma^{D}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d})$$
. We consider $u_{\Omega_{\theta}} = \mathcal{P}_{\theta}$
$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{x_{\theta}} u_{\Omega_{\theta}} + \nabla_{x_{\theta}} u_{\Omega_{\theta}}^{T} \right) \eqqcolon e_{x_{\theta}}(u_{\Omega_{\theta}})$$

where $\nabla_{x_{\theta}}$ (respectively ∇_x) represents the grather deformed (respectively reference) domain.

Compliance:

We recall that
$$X_{\theta} = \operatorname{Id} + \theta + o(\theta)$$
. Hence:
 $D_{\theta} = \operatorname{Id} + \nabla \theta + o(\nabla \theta)$
 $D_{\theta}^{T} = \operatorname{Id} + \nabla \theta^{T} + o(\nabla \theta)$
 $D_{\theta}^{-1} = \operatorname{Id} - \nabla \theta + o(\nabla \theta)$
 $\det(\operatorname{Id} + C) = 1 + \operatorname{tr}(C) + o(C)$
 $\operatorname{Cof}(\operatorname{Id} + C) = \operatorname{Id} + \operatorname{tr}(C) \operatorname{Id} - C + o(C)$

$$j_{1}(\theta) = -\min_{u_{\Omega} \in V_{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u_{\Omega} D_{\theta}^{-1} + D_{\theta}^{-T} \nabla u_{\Omega}^{T}\right)\right) : \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u_{\Omega} D_{\theta}^{-1} + D_{\theta}^{-T} \nabla u_{\Omega}^{T}\right)\right) I_{\theta} dx$$
$$-2 \int_{\Omega} f \circ X_{\theta} \cdot u_{\Omega} I_{\theta} dx - 2 \int_{\Gamma^{N}} g \circ X_{\theta} \cdot u_{\Omega} \operatorname{Cof} D_{\theta} ds.$$

Shape gradient of the compliance (By differentiating $j_1(\theta)$ w.r.t θ in $\theta = 0$):

$$\langle dJ_1(\Omega), \theta \rangle = \int_{\Omega} Ae(u_{\Omega}) : \left(\nabla u_{\Omega} \nabla \theta + \nabla \theta^T \nabla u_{\Omega}^T \right) dx - \int_{\Omega} Ae(u_{\Omega}) : e(u_{\Omega}) (\nabla \cdot \theta) dx$$

 $+ 2 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla f \theta \cdot u_{\Omega} + f \cdot u_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \theta)) dx + 2 \int_{\Gamma^N} (\nabla g \theta \cdot u_{\Omega} + g \cdot u_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \theta - \nabla \theta n \cdot n)) dx$

A dual mixed formulation with weakly-enforced symmetry of the stress tensor

Functional spaces for the variational formulation:

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega;\mathbb{M}_d) \coloneqq \{\tau \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{M}_d) \ : \ \nabla \cdot \tau \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d) \} \\ & \Sigma_{\Omega} \coloneqq \{\tau \in \mathcal{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega;\mathbb{M}_d) \ : \ \tau n = g \text{ on } \Gamma^N \text{ and } \tau n = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \} \\ & \Sigma_{\Omega,0} \coloneqq \{\tau \in \mathcal{H}(\operatorname{div},\Omega;\mathbb{M}_d) \ : \ \tau n = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma^N \cup \Gamma \} \\ & V_{\Omega} \coloneqq L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d) \quad , \quad Q_{\Omega} \coloneqq L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{K}_d) \quad , \quad W_{\Omega} \coloneqq V_{\Omega} \times Q_{\Omega} \end{split}$$

State problem: We seek $(\sigma_\Omega, (u_\Omega, \eta_\Omega)) \in \Sigma_\Omega imes W_\Omega$ such that

$$egin{aligned} & a_\Omega(\sigma_\Omega,\delta\sigma) + b_\Omega(\delta\sigma,(u_\Omega,\eta_\Omega)) = 0 & orall \delta\sigma\in\Sigma_{\Omega,\Omega} \ & b_\Omega(\sigma_\Omega,(\delta u,\delta\eta)) = F_\Omega(\delta u) & orall (\delta u,\delta\eta)\in W_\Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$a_{\Omega}(\sigma_{\Omega}, \delta\sigma) := \int_{\Omega} A^{-1}\sigma_{\Omega} : \delta\sigma \, dx$$

 $b_{\Omega}(\sigma_{\Omega}, (\delta u, \delta\eta)) := \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \sigma_{\Omega}) \cdot \delta u \, dx + rac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{\Omega} : \delta\eta \, dx,$
 $F_{\Omega}(\delta u) := -\int_{\Omega} f \cdot \delta u \, dx.$

M. Giacomini

Shape gradient using a dual mixed formulation I Compliance:

$$egin{aligned} J_3(\Omega_ heta) \coloneqq \inf_{\sigma_{\Omega_ heta}\in \Sigma_{\Omega_ heta}} \sup_{(u_{\Omega_ heta},\eta_{\Omega_ heta})\in W_{\Omega_ heta}} \int_{\Omega_ heta} A^{-1}\sigma_{\Omega_ heta}: \sigma_{\Omega_ heta} \ dx_ heta + \int_{\Omega_ heta} (
abla\cdot\sigma_{\Omega_ heta}+f)\cdot u_{\Omega_ heta} \ dx_ heta \ + rac{1}{2\mu}\int_{\Omega_ heta}\sigma_{\Omega_ heta}: \eta_{\Omega_ heta} \ dx_ heta =: j_3(heta) \end{aligned}$$

Mapping $H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega_{\theta}; \mathbb{M}_d)$ to $H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{M}_d)$

A key aspect of this transformation is the preservation of the normal traces of the tensors under analysis. \implies Special isomorphism known as **contravariant Piola transform**.

Transformations:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Q}_{\theta} &: \mathcal{H}(\mathsf{div},\Omega;\mathbb{M}_{d}) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathsf{div},\Omega_{\theta};\mathbb{M}_{d}) \quad , \quad \tau_{\Omega_{\theta}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\theta}(\tau_{\Omega}) = \frac{1}{l_{\theta}} D_{\theta} \tau_{\Omega} \circ X_{\theta}^{-1} D_{\theta}^{T} \\ \mathcal{R}_{\theta} &: L^{2}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to L^{2}(\Omega_{\theta};\mathbb{R}^{d}) \quad , \quad v_{\Omega_{\theta}} = \mathcal{R}_{\theta}(v_{\Omega}) = D_{\theta}^{-T} v_{\Omega} \circ X_{\theta}^{-1} \end{split}$$

Lemma

Let $\sigma_{\Omega} \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega; \mathbb{M}_d)$. We consider $\sigma_{\Omega_{\theta}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\theta}(\sigma_{\Omega})$. It follows that

$$\nabla_{\mathsf{x}_{\theta}} \cdot \sigma_{\Omega_{\theta}} = \frac{1}{I_{\theta}} D_{\theta} \nabla_{\mathsf{x}} \cdot \sigma_{\Omega}$$

where $\nabla_{x_{\theta}}$ · (respectively ∇_{x} ·) represents the divergence with respect to the coordinate of the deformed (respectively reference) domain.

Shape gradient using a dual mixed formulation II Compliance:

$$\begin{split} j_{3}(\theta) &= \inf_{\sigma_{\Omega} \in \Sigma_{\Omega}} \sup_{(u_{\Omega}, \eta_{\Omega}) \in W_{\Omega}} \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l_{\theta}} D_{\theta}^{T} D_{\theta} \sigma_{\Omega} D_{\theta}^{T} D_{\theta} : \sigma_{\Omega} \, dx \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{2\mu(d\lambda + 2\mu)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l_{\theta}} \operatorname{tr} \left(D_{\theta}^{T} D_{\theta} \sigma_{\Omega} \right) \operatorname{tr} \left(D_{\theta}^{T} D_{\theta} \sigma_{\Omega} \right) dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{l_{\theta}} D_{\theta}^{T} D_{\theta} \sigma_{\Omega} D_{\theta}^{T} D_{\theta} : \eta_{\Omega} \, dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla \cdot \sigma_{\Omega} \right) \cdot u_{\Omega} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} f \circ X_{\theta} \cdot \left(D_{\theta}^{-T} u_{\Omega} \right) l_{\theta} \, dx. \end{split}$$

Shape gradient of the compliance:

$$\begin{split} \langle dJ_3(\Omega),\theta\rangle =& \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\Omega} \left(N(\theta)\sigma_{\Omega} : \sigma_{\Omega} + \sigma_{\Omega}N(\theta) : \sigma_{\Omega} \right) dx \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{2\mu(d\lambda + 2\mu)} \int_{\Omega} 2\operatorname{tr} \left(N(\theta)\sigma_{\Omega} \right) \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma_{\Omega} \right) dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\mu} \int_{\Omega} \left(N(\theta)\sigma_{\Omega} : \eta_{\Omega} + \sigma_{\Omega}N(\theta) : \eta_{\Omega} \right) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left(\nabla f\theta \cdot u_{\Omega} + f \cdot u_{\Omega}(\nabla \cdot \theta) - f \cdot (\nabla \theta^{\mathsf{T}}u_{\Omega}) \right) dx, \end{split}$$
where $N(\theta) \coloneqq \nabla \theta + \nabla \theta^{\mathsf{T}} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot \theta) \operatorname{Id}.$

M. Giacomini



M. Giacomini

Volumetric shape gradient

October 6 2016 (IHP) 15 / 18

A cantilever with six holes ($V_0 = 40.59, \gamma_0 = 0.13$)

Finite Element spaces for the discretization

Pure displacement formulation

- Displacement field: $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$
- In black: surface expression
- In red: volumetric expression

Dual mixed formulation

- Stress tensorfield: $BDM_1 \times BDM_1$
- Displacement field: $\mathbb{P}^0\times\mathbb{P}^0$
- Lagrange multiplier: \mathbb{P}^0
- ▶ In blue: volumetric expression



A cantilever with six holes ($V_0 = 40.59$, $\gamma_0 = 0.13$) Pure displacement formulation:





A bulky cantilever ($V_0 = 45$, $\gamma_0 = 0.1$) Pure displacement formulation:



M. Giacomini

A preliminary experimental comparison

Pure displacement (in red) VS dual mixed (in blue) formulations



 $J(\Omega)$



 $L(\Omega) = J(\Omega) + \gamma V(\Omega)$



 $V(\Omega)$

Bulky cantilever:



M. Giacomini





Conclusions

From the experimental results:

- Better convergence rate using the volumetric shape gradient.
- More robust approach using the dual mixed formulation of the problem.
- Configurations with lower compliance (and elastic energy) are obtained starting from the dual mixed formulation.
- The dual mixed formulation seems to provide better convergence rate than the pure displacement one.

Ongoing and future investigations:

- Proof of the equivalence of the volumetric expressions in the continuous framework
- A priori estimate of the error due to the numerical approximation of the shape gradient