Discrete Element methods for computational mechanics

Laurent Monasse (CERMICS/ENPC)

Joint work with Christian Mariotti (CEA/DAM)

November 21, 2016



Outline

Outline

Discrete Element method

- Mka3D
- Analysis and numerical validation
- Time-integration
- Why use a DEM ?

2 Multiphysics coupling

- Coupling with FEM
- Fluid-structure interaction

3 Perspectives

Particle methods

- General principle: replace the equations of continuum mechanics by forces and torques between particles
- Solve the equations of motion for each rigid particle
- Various particle methods:
 - Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH)
 - Particle Finite Element method (PFEM)
 - Discrete Element methods (DEM)
- Two main classes of DEMs:
 - DEMs for granular materials:
 - Particles represent physical elements (grains or crystals)
 - Spherical elements
 - Finite characteristic length
 - DEMs as discretization methods:
 - Consistency with continuous equations
 - Issue: identify the force and torque parameters from macroscopic parameters

Discrete Element method Mka3D

- Solid discretized with rigid convex polyhedral particles
- No parameter identification depending on a sphere packing
- \bullet Linear elasticity: forces and torques parametrized by Young modulus E and Poisson ratio ν
- Covers the full range of $u \in (-1, 0.5)$



Forces between particles

- Internal force between particles : $\vec{F}_{IJ} = \vec{F}_{IJ}^n + \vec{F}_{IJ}^v$
- Shear-compression force:

$$\vec{F}_{IJ}^n = \frac{S_{IJ}}{D_{IJ}^0} \frac{E}{1+\nu} \vec{\Delta u}_{IJ}$$

• Volumetric deformation force \vec{F}_{IJ}^{v} :

$$ec{F}^{v}_{IJ} = rac{S_{IJ}}{D^{0}_{IJ}} rac{E
u}{(1+
u)(1-2
u)} arepsilon_{IJ} ec{n}_{IJ}$$



Torques between particles

- Torque of force \vec{F}_{IJ} : $\vec{\mathcal{M}}_{IJ}^t = \left(\mathbf{Q}_I \cdot \vec{X}_I^0 \vec{G}_{IJ}\right) \wedge \vec{F}_{IJ}$
- Flexion-torsion torque :

$$\vec{\mathcal{M}}_{IJ}^{f} = \frac{S_{IJ}}{D_{IJ}^{0}} (\alpha_{n} (\mathbf{Q}_{I} \cdot \vec{n}_{IJ}^{0}) \wedge (\mathbf{Q}_{J} \cdot \vec{n}_{IJ}^{0}) + \alpha_{s} (\mathbf{Q}_{I} \cdot \vec{s}_{IJ}) \wedge (\mathbf{Q}_{J} \cdot \vec{s}_{IJ}) \\ + \alpha_{t} (\mathbf{Q}_{I} \cdot \vec{t}_{IJ}) \wedge (\mathbf{Q}_{J} \cdot \vec{t}_{IJ})),$$

• Total internal torque between particles : $\vec{\mathcal{M}}_I = \sum_{J \in \tau_I} \vec{\mathcal{M}}_{IJ}^t + \vec{\mathcal{M}}_{IJ}^f$



Modified equation analysis

- We use a Cartesian lattice
- Small displacements and rotations
- We find the modified equation :

$$\rho \vec{\xi} = \frac{E}{1+\nu} (\Delta \vec{\xi} + \operatorname{curl} \vec{\theta}) + \frac{E\nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} \vec{\xi} + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$
$$\frac{h^2}{6} \rho \vec{\theta} = \frac{E}{1+\nu} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{\xi} - 2\vec{\theta}) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

• No constitutive relation between $\vec{\xi}$ and $\vec{\theta}$

- Cosserat material with characteristic length $l_c = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}h$
- Converges to Cauchy material as $l_c \rightarrow 0$ [Forest, Pradel, Sab, 2001]

Lamb's problem

Rods and shells



Rods and shells



Time-integration scheme

- Forces and torques derived from energies: Hamiltonian formulation
- Explicit time-integration scheme: Symplectic Verlet scheme for translation and RATTLE scheme for rotation

$$\begin{split} \vec{V}_{l}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \vec{V}_{l}^{n} + \frac{\Delta t}{2m_{l}} \vec{F}_{l,\text{int}}^{n}, \qquad \vec{F}_{l,\text{int}} = \sum_{J \in \tau_{l}} \vec{F}_{lJ} \\ \vec{X}_{l}^{n+1} &= \vec{X}_{l}^{n} + \Delta t \vec{V}_{l}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \mathbf{P}_{l}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathbf{P}_{l}^{n} + \frac{\Delta t}{4} \mathbf{j} (\vec{\mathcal{M}}_{l,\text{int}}^{n}) \mathbf{Q}_{l}^{n} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{l}^{n} \mathbf{Q}_{l}^{n}, \qquad \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{l}^{n} \text{ s.t } (\mathbf{Q}_{l}^{n+1})^{t} \mathbf{Q}_{l}^{n+1} = \mathbf{I}, \\ \mathbf{Q}_{l}^{n+1} &= \mathbf{Q}_{l}^{n} + \Delta t \mathbf{P}_{l}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{D}_{l}^{-1}, \\ \vec{V}_{l}^{n+1} &= \vec{V}_{l}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\Delta t}{2m_{l}} \vec{F}_{l,\text{int}}^{n+1}, \\ \mathbf{P}_{l}^{n+1} &= \mathbf{P}_{l}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\Delta t}{4} \mathbf{j} (\vec{\mathcal{M}}_{l,\text{int}}^{n+1}) \mathbf{Q}_{l}^{n+1} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathbf{\widetilde{\Upsilon}}_{l}^{n+1} \mathbf{Q}_{l}^{n+1}, \\ \mathbf{\widetilde{\Upsilon}}_{l}^{n+1} \text{ s.t } (\mathbf{Q}^{n+1})^{t} \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \mathbf{D}^{-1} + \mathbf{D}^{-1} (\mathbf{P}^{n+1})^{t} \mathbf{Q}^{n+1} = \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

• CFL condition on Δt

Why use a Discrete Element method ?

- Well-adapted to fast dynamics (impact, fragmentation)
- Simple handling of fracture
- Versatility: seismic wave propagation, rods and shells in a single framework
- Parallelization
- Difficulties:
 - Time-consuming
 - Integration of continuum equations in the formulation: PhD F. Marazzato for ductile fracturation (advisors: A. Ern, K. Sab, C. Mariotti, LM)
 - Analysis on general polyhedral meshes: comparison with CDO schemes (J. Bonelle, A. Ern)

Why use a DEM ?

Seismic propagation in a sedimentary valley



Why use a DEM ?

Seismic propagation in a sedimentary valley





Coupling with a Spectral Element Method (SEM)



Coupling with a Spectral Element Method (SEM)

- Explicit coupling of SEM with DEM
- Displacement of SEM interpolated on interface particles (w/o rotation)
- Load transferred from DEM to SEM boundary conditions (least-square)
- Energy balance
- Possible improvements:
 - Take into account rotation: better transmission of Rayleigh surface waves
 - Allow for different time-steps for SEM and DEM

Fluid-structure interaction

- Risk prevention (effects of an explosion on a structure)
- Interaction between a shock wave and a moving structure
- Effect of overpressure and possible effect of fragments
- Fluid: Eulerian Finite Volume method for Navier-Stokes (OSMP flux [Daru, Tenaud 2004])
- Globally explicit coupling
- Conservation of mass, momentum and energy of the coupled system
- Large displacements and possible fragmentation
 - ⇒ Avoid ALE (remeshing involved) and choose Immersed Boundaries

Deformed boundary reconstruction





Figure 2: Triangulated face

Figure 3: Deformed boundary reconstruction

$$\overline{a}_i^n = \frac{1}{\# \mathcal{P}_{a_i}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{a_i}} (\vec{X}_I^n + \mathbf{Q}_I^n \cdot (a_{i,I}^n - \vec{X}_I^0))$$

Fluid-structure interaction

Cut-cells description

- Investigated for rigid bodies by Noh (1964), Colella *et al.* (1995), ...
- Volume fraction $0 \leqslant \Lambda \leqslant 1$ occupied by the solid in the cell
- Side area fraction $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ of each face
- Boundary area *A* and exterior normal vector *n*
- ⇒ Intersection between 3d objects: geometric library CGAL (INRIA et al.)



Figure 4: Cut cell

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda_{i,j,k}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} U_{i,j,k}^{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda_{i,j,k}^{n+1} \end{pmatrix} U_{i,j,k}^{n} + \Delta t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1 - \lambda_{i-1/2,j,k}^{n+1})}{\Delta x_{i,j,k}} F_{i-1/2,j,k}^{n} - \frac{(1 - \lambda_{i+1/2,j,k}^{n+1})}{\Delta x_{i,j,k}} F_{i+1/2,j,k}^{n} + \dots \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{\Delta t}{V_{i,j,k}} \sum_{\substack{\{f \mid f^{n+1} \subset C_{i,j,k}\}}} \phi_{f}^{n} + \sum_{\substack{\{f \mid f^{n+1} \subset C_{i,j,k}\}}} \Delta U_{f}^{n,n+1}$$

 $\phi_f \text{: action of the solid on the fluid} \quad \Delta U_f^{n,\,n+1} \text{ - amount of } U^n \text{ swept by } f \text{ between } n \text{ and } n+1$

[M. A. Puscas, LM 2015]

L. Monasse (CERMICS/ENPC)

Fluid-structure interaction

Fragmenting solid



Figure 5: Breaking the link between two particles

- Lax-Friedrichs flux near the vacuum area
- Progressive filling
- [M. A. Puscas, LM, A. Ern, C. Tenaud, C. Mariotti 2015]

- \Rightarrow Vacuum between solid particles if the velocity of the crack propagation is larger than the speed of sound in the fluid
- \Rightarrow Fluid cells with fluid pressure and density close to zero
- \Rightarrow Solve the Riemann problem in the presence of vacuum

Explicit time integration scheme



Figure 6: Structure of the explicit coupling scheme

Effects of an explosion on an steel cylinder in 2d

Fluid: $\rho_F = 99.9 \text{kg.m}^{-3}$, p = 50662500 Pa (~ 100kg TNT) Solid: $\rho_S = 7860 \text{kg.m}^{-3}$, E = 210 GPa, $\nu = 0$. Fluid grid: 600 × 300 and solid: 50 particles along circumference and 1 particle in thickness.

Effects of an explosion on an steel cylinder in 2d



Figure 7: (a) Dissipative energy and (b) Relative conservation error on system energy.

Perspectives

- Ductile fragmentation vs fragile fragmentation (F. Marazzato)
- Improvement of the time-integration scheme with variable time-steps
- Integration of contact in the fluid-structure coupling scheme

References

• Mka3D:

C. Mariotti, LM, *From general mechanics to discontinuity: Unified approach to elasticity*, Presses de Ponts, 2011.

- LM, C. Mariotti, M2AN 2012
- Coupling with FEM:
 C. Mariotti, F. Le Piver, L. Aubry, IJNME 2015
- Fluid-structure interaction:
 - LM, V. Daru, C. Mariotti, S. Piperno, C. Tenaud, JCP 2012
 - M. A. Puscas, LM, SISC 2015
 - M. A. Puscas, LM, A. Ern, C. Tenaud, C. Mariotti, V. Daru, JCP 2015
 - M. A. Puscas, LM, A. Ern, C. Tenaud, C. Mariotti, IJNME 2015