

CENO HO Scheme Norma, February 9, 2021

M. Gschwend, A. Dervieux

- 1. Bibliography concerning High Order approximations.
- 2. About anisotropic hp adaptation.

Up to now 80 references are gathered.

Essentially five identifiable subsets:

- Edge-Based-Reconstruction with line-reconstruction,
- Central-ENO: edge based flux, molecule-based reconstruction,
- NASA-Flux-Correction, third-order, quadratic nodal reconstruction (Katz,...),
- DG, Hybrid-DG.

- Various spectral non-DG : Spectral Differences, Spectral Volume, Flux-Reconstruction, Correction-Procedure-with-Reconstruction,...

pdf files are in Google Drive.

(a) In contrast to usual h-p approaches, we rely on a CENO scheme which involves its own p-choice on each cell.

(b) As in a previous work in 2D(*), the anisotropic adaptation is obtained thanks to a cell-by-cell conversion to second-order metric (Hessian-type).

(*)Carabias, A., Belme, A., Loseille, A. and Dervieux, A., Anisotropic goal-oriented error analysis for a third-order accurate CENO Euler discretization, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, Volume 86, Issue 6, 28 February 2018, Pages 392-413.

hp adaptation (2): (a)

(a) CENO with p-choice

For every cell :

Step 1: A *potential* reconstruction is performed up to third or fourth derivatives (details given in Matthieu Gschwend's talk).

Step 2: Depending of Taylor series truncated to p, the local error is a tensor of order p times the local mesh size, with p = 1, 2, ...4. For choosing the degree $p_{effective}$ of reconstruction we shall use a criterion inspired by the work of Dolejsi(*). $p_{effective}$ corresponds to smallest error term in the Taylor series. The *effective* reconstruction used for advancing in time relies on this term.

(*)V. Dolejsi. Anisotropic hp-adaptive method based on interpolation error estimates in the Lq-norm. Applied Numerical Mathematics, 82:80-114, 2014.

hp adaptation (3): (b)

(b) Anisotropic adaptation

Step 1: For every cell: we start from the error tensor $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_{p_{adapt}}$, with $p_{adapt} = max(2, p_{effective})$.

Step 2: Passing to second-order metric: let us define:

$$ilde{H}_i = Argmin \sum_{j=1}^{N(i)} \left(\, ilde{H}_i(ec{ij}^2) \, - \, \left(\mathbb{T}(ec{ij}^p)
ight)^{2/p} \,
ight)^2$$

Then, relying on:

$$\sup_{\delta \mathbf{x}} \mathbb{T}\left((|\delta \mathbf{x}|)^p \right) \approx \left(trace(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}|\tilde{H}_i|\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

we replace for evaluating the optimal metric the \mathbb{T} -based error by the \tilde{H} -based one. The cell error is expressed in terms of the metric \mathcal{M} . **Step 3:** By minimisation of the sum of cell-errors, we get the anisotropic optimal metric \mathcal{M}_{opt} . The text of the bibliography is in progress (2 months?). Please contribute to the list.

The adaptation project will start after insertion of CENO in the CFD code: september.